MACHINE LEARNING AND PURE MATHEMATICS: EXPERIMENTS AND SPECULATIONS

+

0

Jordan Ellenberg, University of Wisconsin-Madison: ANTS XVI

In Memoriam, Nigel Boston, 1961-2024



Mathematical discoveries from program search with large language models

Bernardino Romera-Paredes ^I, <u>Mohammadamin Barekatain</u>, <u>Alexander Novikov</u>, <u>Matej Balog</u>, <u>M. Pawan</u> Kumar, <u>Emilien Dupont</u>, <u>Francisco J. R. Ruiz</u>, <u>Jordan S. Ellenberg</u>, <u>Pengming Wang</u>, <u>Omar Fawzi</u>, <u>Pushmeet Kohli</u> ^I & <u>Alhussein Fawzi</u> ^I

Nature 625, 468–475 (2024) Cite this article

195k Accesses | 1017 Altmetric | Metrics

Capsets

A capset in $(Z/3Z)^n$ is a set S of vectors such that no three distinct elements of s satisfy

s + t + u = 0

Capsets

A capset in $(Z/3Z)^n$ is a set S of vectors such that no three distinct elements of s satisfy

s + t + u = 0t = -(s+u) = (1/2)(s+u)

Capsets $f(n) = size of the largest capset in (Z/3Z)^n$

Perhaps my favourite open question is the problem on the maximal size of a *cap* set – a subset of \mathbb{F}_3^n (\mathbb{F}_3 being the finite field of three elements) which contains no lines, or equivalently no non-trivial arithmetic progressions of length three. As

$$f(1) = 2, f(2) = ?$$









Capsets

• f(4)=20



Capsets

```
What is \lim f(n)^{1/n}?
```

```
At least (20)^{1/4} = 2.11 \dots
At most 3.
E_-Giswijt, 2017: at most 2.756.
Best known lower bound: Tyrell, 2022: at least 2.218.
```



Can a machine generate a large capset?

(even a single large example can narrow the gap!)

Can a machine generate a large capset?

Standard approach: somehow optimize over functions $F: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, rewarding those such that $\{v \in \{0,1,2\}^n : F(v) > 0\}$ is a large capset.

[Submitted on 29 Apr 2021] Constructions in combinatorics via neural networks

Adam Zsolt Wagner

We demonstrate how by using a reinforcement learning algorithm, the deep cross-entropy method, one can find explicit constructions and counterexamples to several open conjectures in extremal combinatorics and graph theory. Amongst the conjectures we refute are a question of Brualdi and Cao about maximizing permanents of pattern avoiding matrices, and several problems related to the adjacency and distance eigenvalues of graphs.

OUTPUT: Some huge mess of a function.

FUNSEARCH

Instead: search the space of short Python programs, rewarding those whose output is a large n-dimensional capset.

FUNSEARCH: A CARTOON

0° cvaluate 100 ograms pick Lest programs performers LLM, more like

```
def priority(el: tuple[int, ...],
\rightarrow n: int) -> float:
 score = n
 in el = 0
 el count = el.count(0)
  if el count == 0:
   score += n^{*2}
   if el[1] == el[-1]:
    score *=1.5
   if el[2] == el[-2]:
    score *= 1.5
   if el[3] == el[-3]:
    score *= 1.5
 else:
   if el[1] == el[-1]:
    score *=0.5
   if el[2] == el[-2]:
    score *= 0.5
```

```
for e in el:
 if e == 0:
   if in el == 0:
     score *= n * 0.5
   elif in_el == el_count - 1:
     score *= 0.5
   else:
     score *= n * 0.5 ** in el
   in el += 1
 else:
   score += 1
if el[1] == el[-1]:
 score *= 1.5
if el[2] == el[-2]:
 score *= 1.5
```

return score

It actually works! Matches best known lower bound on f(n) (i.e. matches largest known n-dimensional capsets) for n = 1,2,..7, and improves f(8) from 496 to 512.

It actually works! Matches best known lower bound on f(n) (i.e. matches largest known n-dimensional capsets) for n = 1,2,..7, and improves f(8) from 496 to 512.

(and improves the lower bound in general, though Naslund recently beat this...)

We needed to search a very restrictive class of functions: priority functions that assign a score to each element of $(Z/3Z)^n$ in advance, then add vectors to the capset in order of score, skipping any that violate the capset rule.

!!!!

```
def priority(el: tuple[int, ...],
\rightarrow n: int) -> float:
 score = n
 in el = 0
 el count = el.count(0)
  if el count == 0:
   score += n^{*2}
   if el[1] == el[-1]:
    score *=1.5
   if el[2] == el[-2]:
    score *= 1.5
   if el[3] == el[-3]:
    score *= 1.5
 else:
   if el[1] == el[-1]:
    score *=0.5
   if el[2] == el[-2]:
    score *= 0.5
```

```
for e in el:
 if e == 0:
   if in el == 0:
     score *= n * 0.5
   elif in_el == el_count - 1:
     score *= 0.5
   else:
     score *= n * 0.5 ** in el
   in el += 1
 else:
   score += 1
if el[1] == el[-1]:
 score *= 1.5
if el[2] == el[-2]:
 score *= 1.5
```

return score

No hallucination problem because assessment doesn't involve the LLM!

evaluate pick Lest performers

Results are interpretable; we can read the code ourselves and try to figure out what it's doing.

My attempts to seed Funsearch with "well-chosen" functions did not improve performance!

Funsearch does not seem to learn that $(Z/3Z)^n$ has symmetry by GL_n(F_3), but does learn it has symmetry by S_n.

```
if el_count == 0:
    score += n**2
    if el[1] == el[-1]:
        score *= 1.5
    if el[2] == el[-2]:
        score *= 1.5
    if el[3] == el[-3]:
        score *= 1.5
```

Funsearch does not seem to learn how to combine an mdimensional capset and an n-dimensional capset into an (m+n)-dimensional capset.

• Learn to produce a program that gives good (better than previous human-generated) solutions for a particular n

- Learn to produce a program that gives good (better than previous human-generated) solutions for a particular n
- Learn to produce a program that gives good solutions for general n

- Learn to produce a program that gives good (better than previous human-generated) solutions for a particular n
- Learn to produce a program that gives a human reader a good idea for creating good solutions for general n (interpretability!)
- Learn to produce a program that gives good solutions for general n

- Learn to produce a program that gives good (better than previous human-generated) solutions for a particular n
- Learn to produce a program that gives a human reader a good idea for creating good solutions for general n
- Learn to produce a program that gives good solutions for general n
- Enslave/eat/otherwise annihilate all humans
- Render research mathematics obsolete

What kind of problems are most suitable for Funsearch-style attack? Can we get outside combinatorics?

DeepMind wants to work on hard problems; I want to work on easy problems

DeepMind wants to work on hard problems; I want to work on easy problems



with The Heathkit HE 8080 Personal Computing System!

Hit which a life Dompoler. 38.76 sold all Ressort HE 2 4K Challer ---- NO Ad-1 Deriet |- 2 and BCP-3801 Centerins Relation Property 144 if porchannel reparately, "Effert South Lynton Price P172 44401 HERE and remaining the Country AND TRAINER. THEY THE MANAGER AND ADDRESS OF THE " The second second second

time placestaria a social contraction of a solitor provider a solitor provider of Rowald Stream 1 I be not both from the The offsets, the harbors compare THE OWNER ADDRESS ADDR the present in the second seco Care Draw and Draw and the well profession for solar placed Contraction of the same state and simply party plus physical limiting. temporary of the West Workshow and the second secon Person and Adding Million Bring and Million

Reading + Conserved in Stations

addies beginte source restington pathones, Rent Party of Longing on these is buildings and a subtract one Applicated they are seen and the last AND ADDRESS AND TAXABLE ADDRESS AND Hore, And all \$1,7-290 (submitted) other states of the property of manine lands range or

All bolt prior toronal million provides and a second second second and strengthe intervention of the strength stren ord during \$2 and or interests

Rend for your Hearthall Catalog or wait your Reachair Electronic Contra films balance union int. And a second sec



"we have empirically observed that the results obtained in this paper are not too sensitive to the exact choice of LLM, as long as it has been trained on a large enough corpus of code."

Can we make Funsearch (or Funsearch-likes) a tool for working mathematicians?



Learning the Möbius function

- 1 if n is the product of an even number of distinct primes
- -1 if n is the product of an odd number of distinct primes

 $\mu(n) =$

• 0 if n has a nontrivial square factor

Can a neural net learn the Möbius function?

Neural nets are very good at: INPUT:



cat faucet cat

OUTPUT: A function F from space of pixels (R^N) to [0,1] sending pictures of cats to 0 and pictures of faucets to 1

INPUT: (17,1) (15, -1) (105, -1) (12, 0),

INPUT: (17,1)(15, -1) (105, -1) (12, 0),

OUTPUT: A function that matches Möbius much better than chance!

 $\mu(n)$ =

- 1 if n is the product of an even number of distinct primes
- -1 if n is the product of an odd number of distinct primes
- 0 if n has a nontrivial square factor

Our function: 0 if n is a multiple of 4, random ± 1 otherwise.

Philosophical question:

If the machine, given f(p) data points, can learn to return 0 when n is a multiple of p^2 , should we say the machine has learned to detect squarefreeness?

Philosophical question:

If the machine, given f(p) data points, can learn to return 0 when n is a multiple of p^2 , should we say the machine has learned to detect squarefreeness?

Accuracy of answers near 100%, proportion of concept learned is 0%.