

#### **Searching for Differential Addition Chains**

Daniel J. Bernstein, **Jolijn Cottaar**, Tanja Lange

ANTS XVI, 2024

Let *P* be a point on an elliptic curve and *n* a positive integer. Goal: Compute *nP*.

Normally we compute by double & add, for example for  $n = 29$ :

$$
P \rightarrow 2P \rightarrow 3P \rightarrow 6P \rightarrow 7P \rightarrow 14P \rightarrow 28P \rightarrow 29P.
$$

As an addition chain:

1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 14, 28, 29.

These chains are used in elliptic-curve cryptography and isogeny-based cryptography.



An *addition chain* for an integer *n* is defined as a sequence of integers

$$
1=c_0,c_1,\ldots,c_r=n
$$

such that, for each  $i\in\{1,\ldots,r\}$ , there exist  $j,k\in\{0,\ldots,i-1\}$  such that  $\mathsf{c}_i=\mathsf{c}_j+\mathsf{c}_k.$ 

An example for  $n = 29$ :

1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 14, 28, 29.

Montgomery showed on Montgomery curves (of the form  $By = x^3 + Ax^2 + x$ ) that  $x((a + b)P)$  takes just 6 field multiplications (5 if *P* affine), given  $x(aP)$ ,  $x(bP)$  and *x*((*a* − *b*)*P*), instead of 8 field multiplications for regular point addition.



A *differential addition chain* for an integer *n* is defined as a sequence of integers

$$
1=c_0,c_1,\ldots,c_r=n
$$

such that, for each  $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ , there exist  $j, k \in \{0, \ldots, i - 1\}$  such that  $c_i = c_i + c_k$ and  $c_j - c_k \in \{0, c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{i-1}\}.$ 

An example for  $n = 29$ :

1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 16, 29

Saving of all intermediate results gives a Θ(log(*n*)) memory requirement. Want 3-tuple of integers  $(c - b, b, c)$ , no inputs permitted from outside.



Continued-fraction tuples are formed as follows:





A sequence of tuples ending at *n* = 29:

 $(1, 2, 3), (2, 3, 5), (3, 5, 8), (5, 8, 13), (8, 13, 21), (8, 21, 29)$ 

#### *Continued-fraction dierential addition chain*:

1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 29

Which is encoded as 00001.

6 Searching for Differential Addition Chains



# **Existing algorithms: CFRC, SIBC and CTIDH**

Existing algorithms to find continued-fraction differential addition chains:

- Montgomery's CFRC algorithm [\[Mon92\]](#page-27-0): based on Euclid's algorithm.
- SIBC [\[ACDR21\]](#page-27-1): Brute force search in the tuples tree.
	- Fests all chain up to conjectured upper bound:  $2 + \lfloor 1.5 \log_2(n) \rfloor$ .
	- $\triangleright$  Overshooting gets pruned.
- CTIDH [\[BBC](#page-27-2)<sup>+</sup>21]: Also a brute force search in the tuples tree.
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Increments the length of the chains considered.
	- $\triangleright$  Chains that do not reach the target when doubling get pruned.



# **Pruning algorithm**

We propose an improved version of the approach in CTIDH:

- Incrementing lengths being considered.
- Chains that overshoot the target are pruned.
- Chains that undershoot are pruned using the Fibonacci bound.



# **Pruning algorithm**

Fibonacci upper bound: A chain of length *i*, with tuple  $(a_i, b_i, c_i)$ :

- Length  $i + 1$  will reach at most 2 $c_i$ ,
- length  $i + 2$  will reach at most 3 $c_i$ ,
- length  $i + 3$  will reach at most 5 $c_i$ , etc.

I.e. when aiming for length *r*:

- *c<sup>r</sup>* ≤ *n* − 1 will undershoot,
- $c_{r-1} \leq (n-1)/2$  will undershoot,
- $c_{r-2}$  <  $(n-1)/3$  will undershoot, etc.

































Create a list of continued-fraction tuples that adhere to:

- left-interval variant: final entry is in a certain interval based on the target.
- left-length variant: chains up to a certain length.

We find the following chains (for left-interval variant):

1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 11 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 10 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 11 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 11











$$
n = 29 \rightarrow \text{Left} \rightarrow \text{Right} \rightarrow \text{Find} \rightarrow \text{Final} \rightarrow \text{chain}
$$

Let  $m = |n^{1/3}| = 3$ . We create the following dictionary with  $(b \mod m, c \mod m)$ :

$$
(0, 2): 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11; 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 11(1, 1): 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 10(1, 2): 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 11(2, 2): 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 11
$$





$$
n = 29 \longrightarrow \qquad \begin{array}{c}\n\text{Left} \\
\text{side}\n\end{array}\n\longrightarrow \qquad \begin{array}{c}\n\text{Right} \\
\text{simple}\n\end{array}\n\longrightarrow \qquad \begin{array}{c}\n\text{final} \\
\text{thecks}\n\end{array}\n\longrightarrow \qquad \text{chain}\n\end{array}
$$

Now we test our found right-side chains to check if

$$
(p \cdot b \mod m) + (q \cdot c \mod m) = n \mod m.
$$



$$
n = 29 \longrightarrow \qquad \begin{array}{c}\n\text{Left} \\
\text{side}\n\end{array}\n\longrightarrow \qquad \begin{array}{c}\n\text{Right} \\
\text{simple}\n\end{array}\n\longrightarrow \qquad \begin{array}{c}\n\text{final} \\
\text{thecks}\n\end{array}\n\longrightarrow \text{chain}\n\end{array}
$$

Example with the chain  $b + c$  (so  $p = 1$ ,  $q = 1$ ) for 29 mod 3 = 2: We run over possible *b* and check if there is a (*b* mod 3, *c* mod 3) which hold:

$$
b = 0 \mod 3 \rightarrow 1 \cdot 0 + 1 \cdot (c \mod 3) = 2 \mod 3 \rightarrow c = 2 \mod 3 \rightarrow (0,2) \qquad \checkmark
$$
  
\n
$$
b = 1 \mod 3 \rightarrow 1 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot (c \mod 3) = 2 \mod 3 \rightarrow c = 1 \mod 3 \rightarrow (1,1) \qquad \checkmark
$$
  
\n
$$
b = 2 \mod 3 \rightarrow 1 \cdot 2 + 1 \cdot (c \mod 3) = 2 \mod 3 \rightarrow c = 0 \mod 3 \rightarrow (2,0)
$$



$$
n = 29 \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c}\n\text{Left} \\
\text{side}\n\end{array}\n\longrightarrow \begin{array}{c}\n\text{Right} \\
\text{simple}\n\end{array}\n\longrightarrow \begin{array}{c}\n\text{Mod} \\
\text{thecks}\n\end{array}\n\longrightarrow \begin{array}{c}\n\text{Final} \\
\text{chain}\n\end{array}
$$

In total we get:

$$
\dots, c: ..., 9, 11; ..., 6, 11; ..., 7, 11; ..., 8, 11
$$
  
\n
$$
\dots, b + c: ..., 9, 11; ..., 6, 11; ..., 7, 10
$$
  
\n
$$
\dots, b + 2c: ..., 7, 11
$$
  
\n
$$
\dots, 2b + c: ..., 9, 11; ..., 6, 11
$$
  
\n
$$
\dots, 3b + c: ..., 9, 11; ..., 6, 11; ..., 7, 11; ..., 8, 11
$$
  
\n
$$
\dots, 2c - b: ..., 8, 11
$$



*<sup>n</sup>* <sup>=</sup> <sup>29</sup> Left side Right side Mod comparisons Final checks chain

Test our found right-side chains to check if:

 $p \cdot b + q \cdot c = n$ 

Thus only having to do 15 full checks instead of 30 orginally.



$$
n = 29
$$
  $\longrightarrow$   $\xrightarrow{\text{Left}}$   $\xrightarrow{\text{Right}}$   $\xrightarrow{\text{Mod}}$   $\xrightarrow{\text{Final}}$   $\xrightarrow{\text{chain}}$   $\xrightarrow{\text{chain}}$   $\xrightarrow{\text{chain}}$ 

1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 11 and  $c - b$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$ ,  $b + c$ ,  $b + 2c$  combine to the continued-fraction chain

1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 11, 18, 29.

1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11 and *c* − *b*, *b*, *c*, *b* + *c*, 2*b* + *c* also reach 29 but the continued-fraction chain

$$
1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 20, 29
$$

is one step longer.

10 Searching for Differential Addition Chains



### <span id="page-24-0"></span>**Other considered chains**

- 1. 'ladder': Standard ladder
- 2. 'prac': Montgomery's PRAC algorithm [\[Mon92\]](#page-27-0) for all  $\rho$ , this generates a differential addition-subtraction chain (usually not a continued-fraction differential addition chain).



#### <span id="page-25-0"></span>**Results**





#### <span id="page-26-0"></span>**Results**





#### <span id="page-27-3"></span>**References I**

<span id="page-27-1"></span>Gora Adj, Jesús-Javier Chi-Domínguez, and Francisco Rodríguez-Henríquez. 量 SIBC Python library. <https://github.com/JJChiDguez/sibc/>, 2021.

<span id="page-27-2"></span>Gustavo Banegas, Daniel J. Bernstein, Fabio Campos, Tung Chou, Tanja Lange, 量 Michael Meyer, Benjamin Smith, and Jana Sotáková. CTIDH: faster constant-time CSIDH. *IACR Transactions on Cryptographic Hardware and Embedded Systems*, 2021(4):351–387, 2021. <https://tches.iacr.org/index.php/TCHES/article/view/9069>.

<span id="page-27-0"></span>H Peter L. Montgomery. Evaluating recurrences of form  $x_{m+n} = f(x_m, x_n, x_{m-n})$  via Lucas chains, 1992.

