Isogeny interpolation for elliptic curves, and applications

<u>Wouter Castryck</u>, Thomas Decru, Luciano Maino, Chloe Martindale, Lorenz Panny, Giacomo Pope, Damien Robert, Benjamin Wesolowski



ANTS XVI, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, 15/07/2024



Definition

A **homomorphism** between two elliptic curves *E* and *E'* over a field *k* is a morphism $\varphi: E \to E'$ such that $\varphi(\infty) = \infty'$.

An **isogeny** is a non-constant homomorphism.

<u>Example</u>: let $E: y^2 = x^3 + 1$ and $E': y^2 = x^3 - 27$, then

$$\varphi: E \to E': \infty \mapsto \infty, (x, y) \mapsto \begin{cases} \left(\frac{x^3 + 4}{x^2}, y\frac{x^3 - 8}{x^3}\right) & \text{if } (x, y) \neq (0, \pm 1), \\ \infty & \text{if not} \end{cases}$$

E

is an isogeny of degree 3.

Definition

A **homomorphism** between two elliptic curves *E* and *E'* over a field *k* is a morphism $\varphi: E \to E'$ such that $\varphi(\infty) = \infty'$.

An **isogeny** is a non-constant homomorphism.

Quick facts:

- \succ on \overline{k} -points, isogenies are surjective group homomorphisms with finite kernel,
- → # ker $\varphi \leq \deg \varphi$, where equality holds if and only if φ is separable.

- Cauchy—Schwarz inequality

For any pair of isogenies $\varphi_1: E \to E'$ and $\varphi_2: E \to E'$ we have:

 $\left|\deg(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2) - \deg\varphi_1 - \deg\varphi_2\right| \le 2\sqrt{\deg\varphi_1\deg\varphi_2}.$

E.g., Hasse's theorem for $k = \mathbf{F}_q$: $|\#E(\mathbf{F}_q) - q - 1| = |\operatorname{deg}(\operatorname{Frob}_q - \operatorname{id}) - q - 1| \le 2\sqrt{q}$.

Corollary [JU18] -

A degree-*d* isogeny $\varphi: E \to E'$ is **uniquely determined** by the images of any 4d + 1 points.

2/2

<u>Proof:</u> \succ Let $\varphi_1 \neq \varphi_2$ be degree-*d* isogenies coinciding on $\geq 4d + 1$ points.

➤ Then deg($\varphi_1 - \varphi_2$) ≥ #ker($\varphi_1 - \varphi_2$) ≥ 4d + 1, but by Cauchy—Schwarz: $|deg(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2) - d - d| \le 2\sqrt{d \cdot d} \quad \Rightarrow \quad deg(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2) \le 4d \quad \textbf{4}$

Bound is sharp: φ and $-\varphi$ agree on $[2]^{-1}(\ker \varphi)$.

Isogeny interpolation problem

- ▶ Let φ : $E \to E'$ be an unknown isogeny of (known) degree d.
- Let us be given a set {P₁, ..., P_r} ⊂ E generating a group of size at least 4d + 1, along with the image points $φ(P_i) ∈ E'$ for i = 1, ..., r.
- → Given any $Q \in E$, compute $\varphi(Q)$.

Isogeny interpolation problem

- ▶ Let φ : $E \to E'$ be an unknown isogeny of (known) degree d.
- Let us be given a set {P₁, ..., P_r} ⊂ E generating a group of size at least 4d + 1, along with the image points $φ(P_i) ∈ E'$ for i = 1, ..., r.

3/23

→ Given any $Q \in E$, compute $\varphi(Q)$.



Isogeny interpolation problem

- ≻ Let φ : $E \to E'$ be an unknown isogeny of (known) degree d.
- Let us be given a set {P₁, ..., P_r} ⊂ E generating a group of size at least 4d + 1, along with the image points $φ(P_i) ∈ E'$ for i = 1, ..., r.
- → Given any $Q \in E$, compute $\varphi(Q)$.

Theorem [CDM+24]

Assume $k = \mathbf{F}_q$, write $G = \langle P_1, ..., P_r \rangle$, and assume gcd(#G, q) = 1. There is an algorithm for the isogeny interpolation problem, whose running time is polynomial in:

- ➤ the length of the input,
- ➤ the maximum of *l* and the degree of the field of definition of $E[l^{\lfloor e/2 \rfloor}]$, over all prime powers l^e dividing #G.

1. Problem statement and main result

Theorem [CDM+24] -

Assume $k = \mathbf{F}_q$, write $G = \langle P_1, ..., P_r \rangle$, and assume gcd(#G, q) = 1. There is an algorithm for the isogeny interpolation problem, whose running time is polynomial in:

➤ the length of the input,

➤ the maximum of *l* and the degree of the field of definition of $E[l^{e/2}]$, over all prime powers l^e dividing #G.

- Remarks: polynomial time, but concrete runtime varies largely with parameters,
 - supersingular: conditions on gcd(#G, q) and $E[\ell^{\lfloor e/2 \rfloor}]$ are void,
 - ordinary: condition on gcd(#G, q) likely removable via Dieudonné modules,
 - much generalizes to p.p. abelian varieties of dim g ≥ 1, or to arbitrary fields supporting efficient arithmetic, but full extent not clear yet.

2. Context: post-quantum cryptography standardization

1994: "Polynomial-time algorithms for **prime factorization** and **discrete logarithms** on a quantum computer" by P. Shor [Sho94]

4/23

gradually growing concern

2017: NIST initiates "standardization effort" for post-quantum key exchange and signatures

Main contending hard mathematical problems:



2. Context: post-quantum cryptography standardization

2020: Preliminary NIST standards:



LMS (stateful signatures)



XMSS (stateful signatures)

2022: First main NIST standards:

- ••••••••• Kyber (key encapsulation)
- **Dilithium** (signatures)
- **Falcon** (signatures)
 - **#** SPHINCS+ (signatures)

few months earlier [Beu22]

 $\frac{(f_1(s_1, \dots, s_n) = 0)}{(f_m(s_1, \dots, s_n) = 0)} \quad \text{Rainbow (signatures)}$

now broken [CD23,MMP+23,Rob23]

Moved to extra round of scrutiny:

BIKE (key encapsulation)

McEliece (key encapsulation)

HQC (key encapsulation)

```
SIKE (key encapsulation)
```

2023: New NIST call: verification. NIST is open to receiving additional submissions based on structured lattices, but is intent on diversifying the post-quantum signature standards. As such



The isogeny-finding problem:





The isogeny-finding problem:

Input: two isogenous elliptic curves E, E' over \mathbf{F}_q



Output: an isogeny $\varphi: E \to E'$

Best attacks in general:^{1, 2} $\tilde{O}(q^{1/4})$ classical and $\tilde{O}(q^{1/8})$ quantum [BJS14].

Main selling point: low bandwidth requirements (as in classical elliptic-curve cryptography)

¹ Large classes of elliptic curves admit more efficient attacks.

² For exceptional classes of ordinary elliptic curves, the best-known complexity worsens to $\tilde{O}(q^{2/5})$; see talk Steven Galbraith.

Flagship protocol until 2022: Supersingular Isogeny Key Encapsulation [JD11]

• Another quick fact: for any finite subgroup $G \subset E$ there exists a separable isogeny

$$\varphi: E \to E'$$
 with $G = \ker(\varphi)$

7/23

and this isogeny is unique up to composition (on the right) with an isomorphism.



• Vélu's formulas [Vél73] compute φ and E', but only efficient when #G is smooth.





• Vélu's formulas [Vél73] compute φ and E', but only efficient when #G is smooth.

3. Isogeny-based cryptography 1.x

SIKE's high-level idea: Alice and Bob choose secret subgroups $A \subset E[2^a]$, $B \subset E[3^b]$





Solution [JD11]: Alice and Bob choose public bases P_A , $Q_A \in E[2^a]$, P_B , $Q_B \in E[3^b]$





Solution [JD11]: Alice and Bob choose public bases P_A , $Q_A \in E[2^a]$, P_B , $Q_B \in E[3^b]$





"The number of curves of genus two with elliptic differentials" by E. Kani [Kan97]

9/23





"The number of curves of genus two with elliptic differentials" by E. Kani [Kan97]

Lemma. Consider a commuting diagram of isogenies:

"isogeny diamonds" - E_1 - $\xrightarrow{\rho} E_3$ ν α E_2 E_4





"The number of curves of genus two with elliptic differentials" by E. Kani [Kan97]

Lemma. Consider a commuting diagram of isogenies:



with deg α = deg γ and deg β = deg δ coprime. Then the map

$$\Phi: E_2 \times E_3 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\alpha} & \hat{\beta} \\ -\delta & \gamma \end{pmatrix}} E_1 \times E_4$$

 $is a (\deg \alpha + \deg \beta, \deg \alpha + \deg \beta) \text{-} isogeny of p.p. abelian surfaces with kernel} \\ \left\{ \left(\alpha(P), \beta(P) \right) \middle| P \in E_1[\deg \alpha + \deg \beta] \right\}.$

10/23 4. Isogeny interpolation: balanced case (xP_1, P_1') Assume $G = E[N] = \langle P_1, P_2 \rangle$ with $N^2 \ge 4d + 1$. *E*′ E φ E E' $P'_{1} = \varphi(P_{1}), P'_{2} = \varphi(P_{2})$ P_1, P_2 **Special first case:** gcd(N, d) = 1 and N > d $N - d = x^2$ is square Kani's lemma: $\hat{\varphi}$ [x] Consider the isogeny diamond $\Phi: E \times E'$ $E \times E'$ is an (*N*, *N*)-isogeny with kernel [x][x] $\left\{\left(xP,\varphi(P)\right) \mid P \in E[N]\right\}.$ E E Ф completely known! Note: $\deg \varphi + \deg[x] = d + x^2 = N$.

Algorithm (requires *N* **smooth**):

➢ using higher-dimensional analogs of Vélu's formulae, compute the (N, N)-isogeny

11/23



Algorithm (requires *N* **smooth**):

➢ using higher-dimensional analogs of Vélu's formulae, compute the (N, N)-isogeny



from its kernel,

- \succ compute −Φ(Q, ∞) = (− $xQ, \varphi(Q)$),
- \succ extract $\varphi(Q)$ as the second component.



11/23

4. Isogeny interpolation: balanced case

Assume
$$G = E[N] = \langle P_1, P_2 \rangle$$
 with $N^2 \ge 4d + 1$.

$$E \longrightarrow E'$$

$$P_1, P_2 \qquad P_1' = \varphi(P_1), P_2' = \varphi(P_2)$$

Next case:
$$gcd(N, d) = 1$$
 and $N > d$
 $N - d = x_1^2 + x_2^2$ is sum of two squares

Same, but use

but use

$$\begin{pmatrix}
[x_1] & [x_2] & \hat{\varphi} & 0 \\
[-x_2] & [x_1] & 0 & \hat{\varphi} \\
-\varphi & 0 & [x_1] & [-x_2] \\
0 & -\varphi & [x_2] & [x_1]
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi: E^2 \times E'^2 \longrightarrow E^2 \times E'^2$$

(higher-dimensional variant of Kani's lemma).

4. Isogeny interpolation: balanced case

Assume
$$G = E[N] = \langle P_1, P_2 \rangle$$
 with $N^2 \ge 4d + 1$.

Next case:
$$gcd(N, d) = 1$$
 and $N > d$
 $N - d = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2$ via Lagrange's four-square theorem

Now work on $E^4 \times {E'}^4$ and use (Zarhin's trick)

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -x_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -x_3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -x_4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & 0 \end{bmatrix} & 0 \\ \left[\begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -x_3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & 0 \end{bmatrix} & 0 \\ \left[\begin{bmatrix} x_3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -x_4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & 0 \end{bmatrix} & 0 \\ \left[\begin{bmatrix} x_4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -x_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & 0 \end{bmatrix} & 0 \\ \left[\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \left[\begin{bmatrix} x_4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -x_4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \left[\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -x_4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_3 \end{bmatrix} \\ \left[\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -x_4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_3 \end{bmatrix} \\ \left[\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -x_4 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -x_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ \left[\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -x_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -x_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ \left[\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -x_2 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -x_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ \left[\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -x_2 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -x_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ \left[\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -x_2 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -x_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ \left[\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -x_2 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -x_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ \left[\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -x_2 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -x_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ \left[\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -x_2 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -x_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ \left[\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -x_2 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -x_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ \left[\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -x_2 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -x_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ \left[\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \left[\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \left[\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \left[\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \left[\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \left[\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \left[\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \left[x_1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \left[x_1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \left[x_1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \left[x_1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \left[x_1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

4. Isogeny interpolation: balanced case

Assume $G = E[N] = \langle P_1, P_2 \rangle$ with $N^2 \ge 4d + 1$.

Near-general case: gcd(N, d) = 1 $N - d = x_1^2 + \dots + x_r^2$ is sum of r = 1,2,4 squares

Approach: proceed **as if we would know** the images of $\frac{1}{N}P_1$, $\frac{1}{N}P_2 \in E[N^2]$.



4. Isogeny interpolation: balanced case

Assume
$$G = E[N] = \langle P_1, P_2 \rangle$$
 with $N^2 \ge 4d + 1$.

$$E \longrightarrow E'$$

$$P_1, P_2 \qquad P_1' = \varphi(P_1), P_2' = \varphi(P_2)$$

Near-general case:
$$gcd(N, d) = 1$$

 $N - d = x_1^2 + \dots + x_r^2$ is sum of $r = 1,2,4$ squares

Approach: proceed **as if we would know** the images of $\frac{1}{N}P_1$, $\frac{1}{N}P_2 \in E[N^2]$.



4. Isogeny interpolation: balanced case

Assume $G = E[N] = \langle P_1, P_2 \rangle$ with $N^2 \ge 4d + 1$.

Near-general case: gcd(N, d) = 1 $N - d = x_1^2 + \dots + x_r^2$ is sum of r = 1,2,4 squares

Approach: proceed as if we would know the images of $\frac{1}{N}P_1$, $\frac{1}{N}P_2 \in E[N^2]$.



5. Isogeny interpolation: glimpse at the general case

E.g., assume $G = \langle P_1 \rangle$ cyclic of order $N \ge 4d + 1$.



Approach:

• extend to bases $P_1, P_2 \in E[N]$ and $P'_1, P'_2 \in E'[N]$,

5. Isogeny interpolation: glimpse at the general case

E.g., assume $G = \langle P_1 \rangle$ cyclic of order $N \ge 4d + 1$.



Approach:

- extend to bases $P_1, P_2 \in E[N]$ and $P'_1, P'_2 \in E'[N]$,
- use identity $e_N(\varphi(P_1), \varphi(P_2)) = e_N(P_1', \varphi(P_2)) = e_N(P_1, P_2)^d$ to determine μ ,

5. Isogeny interpolation: glimpse at the general case

E.g., assume $G = \langle P_1 \rangle$ cyclic of order $N \ge 4d + 1$.



15/23

Approach:

- extend to bases $P_1, P_2 \in E[N]$ and $P'_1, P'_2 \in E'[N]$,
- use identity $e_N(\varphi(P_1), \varphi(P_2)) = e_N(P_1', \varphi(P_2)) = e_N(P_1, P_2)^d$ to determine μ ,
- compose with $\psi: E' \to E' / \langle P'_1 \rangle$,
- apply (slight generalization of) previous algorithm to G = E[N] and $\psi \circ \varphi$. note: $N^2 \ge 4Nd + N \ge 4Nd + 1 = 4\deg(\psi \circ \varphi) + 1$



6. Isogeny representations

What does it mean to **represent / output** a degree-*d* isogeny $\varphi: E \to E'$?

➤ As a rational map ?

E.g.,
$$\varphi: (x,y) \mapsto \left(\frac{x^3 + x^2 + x + 2}{(x-5)^2}, y\frac{x^3 - 4x^2 + 2}{(x-5)^3}\right)$$

Object of size $O((\log q) d)$.

Feasible only if *d* is smooth \rightarrow write φ as a composition of small-degree isogenies.

pre-2022: default understanding of isogeny representation

6. Isogeny representations



What does it mean to **represent / output** a degree-*d* isogeny $\varphi: E \to E'$?

➢ Via its kernel G ?

If the points in *G* are defined over \mathbf{F}_{qf} : object of size $O((\log q)f)$.

Requires conversion to be useful (e.g., to rational map via Vélu, needs smoothness).

> For certain isogenies: via its kernel ideal I_{φ} (via Deuring correspondence)?

Requires sufficient knowledge of the endomorphism ring.

Requires conversion to be useful; ideal can often be **smoothened**, e.g., via [KLP+14].

$$E \qquad \psi \qquad E' \qquad \varphi = \frac{1}{\deg \psi} \cdot \psi \circ (\hat{\psi} \circ \varphi) \qquad \text{see later steel}$$

6. Isogeny representations

What does it mean to **represent / output** a degree-*d* isogeny $\varphi: E \to E'$?

> Via interpolation data !





Two caveats:

- interpolation data must be provided,
- efficiency strongly depends on parameters (ideally want dim 2 and $N = 2^a$).





Example application: a signature scheme [Ler23].



Sign:

 \succ compute $R = P + H(E_A, \text{message}) \cdot Q$,

→ using knowledge of End(E_A), provide interpolation data for $\varphi: E_A \to E_A/\langle R \rangle$.

this is the **signature**

Verify:

```
\succ compute R = P + H(E_A, \text{message}) \cdot Q,
```

check that $\varphi(R) = \infty$ using isogeny interpolation.



Original: respond with smoothening of $\varphi \circ \tau_{sk} \circ \hat{\psi} : E_{com} \to E_{ch}$ through "generalized [KLP+14]".







Original: respond with smoothening of $\varphi \circ \tau_{sk} \circ \hat{\psi} : E_{com} \to E_{ch}$ through "generalized [KLP+14]".

HD: respond with interpolation data for random bounded-degree isogeny $\sigma: E_{com} \rightarrow E_{ch}$.



The Nakagawa-Onuki trick [NO23] :

$$F$$

$$\psi \qquad deg \psi = q?$$

$$E$$



The Nakagawa-Onuki trick [NO23] :

$$\psi \int_{E}^{F} 2^{a}$$

old method: compute I_{ψ} of norm q, smoothen using [KLP+14]



The Nakagawa-Onuki trick [NO23] :



trick: generate $\theta \in \text{End}(E)$ of degree $q(2^a - q)$



The Nakagawa—Onuki trick [NO23] :



(Generalizes from endomorphism factorization to isogeny factorization.)

Clapoti [PR23]: converting an ideal *I* into an isogeny without smoothening.







Clapoti [PR23]: converting an ideal *I* into an isogeny **without smoothening**.



Likewise, compute isogeny

$$\Phi: E \times E \longrightarrow F \times E_I \quad \text{with kernel} \left\{ \left(n(I_2) \cdot Q, \theta(Q) \right) \mid Q \in E[2^a] \right\}$$

and extract E_I and interpolation data for φ_{I_1} , then convert into interpolation data for φ_I .



8. Non-cryptographic applications

Other applications [Rob22,KR24]:

• **computing** End(*E*) for ordinary E/\mathbf{F}_q in polytime, given factorization of Δ_{Frob_q} ,

→ idea: provide interpolation data for hypothetical $\frac{\operatorname{Frob}_q - \lambda}{m} \in \operatorname{End}(E)$ run interpolation to check if this is indeed an endomorphism

• **point counting** on E/\mathbf{F}_{p^n} in time $O(n^2 \cdot \operatorname{poly}(\log p))$,

→ idea: provide interpolation data for the Verschiebung on *E* study how Kani's endomorphism acts on differentials on $E \times E$ extract how the Verschiebung acts on on differentials on *E*

• unconditional $\tilde{O}(\ell^3)$ -algorithm for computing modular polynomial $\Phi_{\ell}(X, Y)$. see next talk by Sabrina Kunzweiler!

Thanks for sitting this out!

References

[BDD+24] Basso, De Feo, Dartois, Leroux, Maino, Pope, Robert, Wesolowski, *SQIsign2D-West: the fast, the small, and the safer* [BJS14] Biasse, Jao, Sankar, A quantum algorithm for computing isogenies between supersingular elliptic curves [Beu22] Beullens, *Breaking Rainbow takes a weekend on a laptop* [CD23] Castryck, Decru, An efficient key recovery attack on SIDH [CDM+24] Castryck, Decru, Maino, Martindale, Panny, Pope, Robert, Wesolowski, *in preparation* [DLR+23] Dartois, Leroux, Robert, Wesolowski, *SQISignHD: new dimensions in cryptography* [DKL+20] De Feo, Kohel, Leroux, Petit, Wesolowski, *SQIsign: compact post-quantum signatures from quaternions and isogenies* [DF24] Duparc, Fouotsa, SQIPrime: a dimension 2 variant of SQIsignHD with non-smooth challenge isogenies [D11] Jao, De Feo, *Towards quantum-resistant cryptosystems from supersingular elliptic curve isogenies* [JU18] Jao, Urbanik, *The problem landscape of SIDH* [Kan97] Kani, *The number of curves of genus two with elliptic differentials* [KLP+14] Kohel, Lauter, Petit, Tignol, *On the quaternion l-isogeny path problem* [KR24] Kunzweiler, Robert, *Computing modular polynomials by deformation* [Ler23] Leroux, Verifiable random function from the Deuring correspondence and higher dimensional isogenies [MMP+23] Maino, Martindale, Panny, Pope, Wesolowski, *A direct key recovery attack on SIDH* [NO23] Nakagawa, Onuki, *QFESTA: efficient algorithms and parameters for FESTA using quaternion algebras* [NO24] Nakagawa, Onuki, *SQIsign2D-East: a new signature scheme using 2-dimensional isogenies* [PR23] Page, Robert, Introducing Clapoti(s): evaluating the isogeny class group action in polynomial time [Rob22] Robert, Some applications of higher dimensional isogenies to elliptic curves (overview of results) [Rob23] Robert, *Breaking SIDH in polynomial time* [Sho94] Shor, Polynomial-time algorithms for prime factorization and discrete logarithms on a quantum computer [Tat66] Tate, Endomorphisms of abelian varieties over finite fields