Counting Roots for Polynomials Modulo Prime Powers

Qi Cheng

School of Computer Science University of Oklahoma

> July 2018 ANTS

This is a joint work with Shuhong Gao, Maurice Rojas and Daqing Wan.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Given a prime p, and a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree d with coefficients of absolute value $< p^t$, it is a basic problem to count the roots of f in $\mathbb{Z}/(p^t)$.

- Aside from its natural cryptological relevance, counting roots in Z/(p^t) is closely related to factoring polynomials over the p-adic rationals Q_p
- and the latter problem is fundamental in polynomial-time factoring over the rationals
- the study of prime ideals in number fields
- the computation of zeta functions and the detection of rational points on curves.

Outline

- Introduction: t = 1
- Complications arise for t > 1

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- ▶ *t* = 2, 3, 4
- General t
- Open problems

Factoring polynomials over finite fields

- By root rationality: $gcd(f(x), x^p x)$
- By root multiplicities: $gcd(f(x), \frac{df}{dx}(x))$

$$f(x) = f_1(x)f_2^2(x)f_3^3(x)...f_l'(x)F(x) \pmod{p}, \qquad (1)$$

where each f_i is a monic polynomial over \mathbf{F}_p that can be split into a product of distinct linear factors over \mathbf{F}_p , and the f_i are pairwise relatively prime, and F(x) is free of linear factors in $\mathbf{F}_p[x]$. Further factorization is not known to be in deterministic polynomial time.

• Use random r_1 and r_2 , can split further:

$$gcd(f(r_1(x+r_2)), x^{(p-1)/2}-1)$$

Hensel lifting

$$x^{2} = 2$$

$$x_{1}^{2} = 2 \pmod{7}$$

$$x_{1} = 3$$

$$(3 + 7x_{2})^{2} = 2 \pmod{7^{2}}$$

$$9 + 42x_{2} = 2 \pmod{7^{2}}$$

$$7 + 42x_{2} = 0 \pmod{7^{2}}$$

$$1 + 6x_{2} = 0 \pmod{7}$$

$$x_{2} = 1$$

$$x = 10 \pmod{7^{2}}$$

$$\vdots$$

Hensel lifting

$$x^{2} = 2$$

$$x_{1}^{2} = 2 \pmod{7}$$

$$x_{1} = 3$$

$$(3 + 7x_{2})^{2} = 2 \pmod{7^{2}}$$

$$9 + 42x_{2} = 2 \pmod{7^{2}}$$

$$7 + 42x_{2} = 0 \pmod{7^{2}}$$

$$1 + 6x_{2} = 0 \pmod{7}$$

$$x_{2} = 1$$

$$x = 10 \pmod{7^{2}}$$

A simple root of f (roots of $f_1(x)$) in Z/(p) can be lifted uniquely to a root in $Z/(p^t)$, according to the classical Hensel's lemma

$$f(x_{1} + px_{2})$$

$$= f(x_{1}) + px_{2} \frac{df}{dx}(x_{1}) \pmod{p^{2}}$$

$$f(x_{1} + p^{t-1}x_{2})$$

$$= f(x_{1}) + p^{t-1}x_{2} \frac{df}{dx}(x_{1}) \pmod{p^{t}}$$

When roots have multiplicities

 A root over F_p can be lifted to exponentially many roots: The quadratic polynomial

$$x^2 = 0,$$

which has roots $0, p, 2p, \dots, (p-1)p$ in $\mathbb{Z}/(p^2)$, is such an example.

• A root over \mathbf{F}_p can be lifted to no root in $\mathbf{Z}/p^2\mathbf{Z}$:

$$x^2 + p = 0$$

has no roots mod p^2 , even though it has a root mod p.

► There is surprisingly little written about root counting in Z/(p^t) for t ≥ 2: The cases t≥3, which we solve here, appeared to be completely open.

More Complications

- One complication with t ≥ 2 is that polynomials in (Z/(p^t))[x] do not have unique factorization, thus obstructing a simple use of polynomial gcd.
- It is still an open problem whether there exists a deterministic polynomial time algorithm for finding roots of polynomials modulo p.

Igusa zeta function

Let N_t(f) denote the number of roots of f in Z/(p^t) (setting N₀(f):=1). The Poincare series for f is

$$P(x) := \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} N_t(f) x^t$$

Example:
$$x^2 = 0$$

t
0
1
2
3
...
i

of roots mod p^t
1
1
p
p
...
 $p^{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor}$
 $\sum p^i x^{2i} + \sum p^i x^{2i+1} = \frac{1+x}{1-px^2}$

- Assuming P(x) is a rational function in x, one can reasonably recover N_t(f) for any t via standard generating function techniques.
- That P(x) is in fact a rational function in x was first proved in 1974 by Igusa (in the course of deriving a new class of zeta functions), applying resolution of singularities.
- Denef found a new proof (using *p*-adic cell decomposition leading to more algorithmic approaches later).
- ▶ While this in principle gives us a way to compute N_t(f), there are few papers studying the computational complexity of Igusa zeta functions.

Main result

Theorem

There is a deterministic algorithm that computes the number, $N_t(f)$, of roots in $\mathbf{Z}/(p^t)$ of f in time $(d + \log(p) + 2^t)^{O(1)}$.

Note that Theorem 1 implies that if $t = O(\log \log p)$ then there is a deterministic $(d + \log p)^{O(1)}$ algorithm to count the roots of f in $\mathbf{Z}/(p^t)$.

Main techniques I

 We use (triangular) ideals in the ring Z_p[x₁, x₂,...] of multivariate polynomials over the *p*-adic integers to keep track of the roots of *f* in Z/(p^t). More precisely, if (x₁, x₂, ..., x_i) ∈ Zⁱ_p is a zero of I ⊆ Z_p[x₁, x₂, ..., x_i], then

$$f(x_1 + px_2 + \cdots + p^{i-1}x_i) = 0 \pmod{p^s}.$$

- We can decompose the ideals according to multiplicity type and rationality of their roots, so that the ideals have only rational roots and are radical over F_p.
- This process produces a tree of ideals which will ultimately encode the summands making up our final count of roots.

We manage to keep most of our computation within $\mathbf{Z}/(p) = \mathbf{F}_{p}$, and maintain uniformity for the roots of our intermediate ideals, by using Teichmuller lifting. Namely, if $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i) \in \mathbf{Z}_p^i$ is a zero of $I \subseteq \mathbf{Z}_p[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i]$, then x_j is the Teichmuller lift of some number in \mathbf{F}_p .

The core of our algorithm counts how many roots of f in Z/(p^t) are lifts of roots of f_i in F_p.



For f₁, by Hensel's lifting lemma, the answer should be deg f₁ for all t.

Algorithm

▶ For other f_i , however, Hensel's lemma will not apply, so we run our algorithm on the pair (f, m), where *m* is the lift of f_i to Z[x], for each $i \in \{2, ..., l\}$, to see how many lifts (to roots of *f* in $Z/(p^t)$) are produced by the roots of f_i in Z/(p). The final count will be the summation of the results over all the f_i , since the roots of *f* in $Z/(p^t)$ are partitioned by the roots of the f_i .

• If randomness is allowed, m(x) has degree one.

Since m|f (in fact $m^2|f$) over $\mathbf{F}_p[x]$, we have f(x) = 0 (mod (m(x), p)), and over $\mathbf{Z}[x_1, x_2]$,

 $f(x_1 + px_2) = 0 \pmod{(m(x_1), p)}$

If $f(x_1 + px_2) = 0 \pmod{(m(x_1), p^t)}$, then each root of m in \mathbf{F}_p lifts to p^{t-1} roots of f in $\mathbf{Z}/(p^t)$, and the counting problem for (f, m) is solved.

Otherwise we can find efficiently an integer $1 \le s < t$ and $g \in \mathbf{Z}[x_1, x_2]$ such that

$$f(x_1 + px_2) = p^s g(x_1, x_2) \pmod{(m(x_1), p^t)},$$
(2)

where $\deg_{x_2} g \leq t-1$, $\deg_{x_1} g < \deg m$ and $g(x_1, x_2) \neq 0$ (mod $p, m(x_1)$).

Normalization

Let

$$g(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{0 \le j < t} g_j(x_1) x_2^j.$$

Assume that the leading coefficient is invertible in $\mathbf{F}_{p}[x]/(m(x_{1}))$, so the polynomial can be made monic.

► Otherwise, f(x)... $m_1(x_1)$ $m_2(x_1)$... Since $m^2 | f$ over \mathbf{F}_p , we must have

$$f(x_1 + px_2) = pg_0(x_1) \pmod{m(x_1), p^2}.$$

Since $gcd(m, g_0) = 1$ over \mathbf{F}_p , none of the roots of m in \mathbf{F}_p can be lifted to \mathbf{Z}/p^2 . So for now on we assume that 1 < s < t.

t = 3

The only interesting case is when s = 2. We have $f(x_1 + px_2) = p^2g(x_1, x_2) \pmod{m(x_1), p^3}$.

Theorem

The number of roots in $\mathbb{Z}/(p^3)$ of f that are lifts of roots of m (mod p) is equal to p times the number of roots in \mathbb{F}_p^2 of the 2 × 2 polynomial system below:

$$m(x_1) = 0$$

 $g(x_1, x_2) = 0$ (3)

which can be calculated in deterministic polynomial time.

The \mathbf{F}_{p} -points of $m(x_{1}) = 0 \cap g(x_{1}, x_{2}) = 0$



▲ロト ▲園ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ニヨー のへ(で)

Run the Euclidean algorithm on

$$g(x_1, x_2)(=x_2^{n_2}+m_2(x_1, x_2)), x_2^p-x_2$$

over $\mathbf{F}_{\rho}[x_1]/(m(x_1)) = \mathbf{F}_{\rho} \oplus \mathbf{F}_{\rho} \cdots \mathbf{F}_{\rho}$

If a zero divisor in F_p[x₁]/(m(x₁)) is found, factor m(x₁) and rerun the algorithm.

- Let n'_2 be the degree of the gcd.
- The number of \mathbf{F}_p solutions is $n'_2 \deg(m)$.

A theorem for a general t

Theorem

The number of roots in $Z/(p^t)$ of f that are lifts of the roots of m (mod p) is equal to p^{s-1} times the number of solutions in $(Z/(p^{t-s}))^2$ of the 2×2 polynomial system (in the variables (x_1, x_2)) below:

$$m(x_1) = 0$$

 $g(x_1, x_2) = 0$ (4)

A dichotomy

Theorem

If $m^2|f$ in $\mathbf{F}_p[x]$, and $t \ge 2$, then any root of m in \mathbf{F}_p is either not liftable to a root in $\mathbf{Z}/(p^t)$ of f, or can be lifted to at least p roots of f in $\mathbf{Z}/(p^t)$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

t=4

- *I*₁ = (*m*(*x*₁)) ⊆ **Z**_{*p*}[*x*₁] *f*(*x*₁ + *px*₂) = *p*²(*x*₂^{*n*₂} + *m*₂(*x*₁, *x*₂)) (mod *m*₁(*x*₁), *p*³) *I*₂ = (*m*(*x*₁), *x*₂^{*n*₂} + *m*₂(*x*₁, *x*₂)) ⊆ **Z**_{*p*}[*x*₁, *x*₂]
- Computer the gcd of $x_2^{n_2} + m_2(x_1, x_2)$ and $x_2^p x_2$ over $\mathbf{F}_p[x_1]/(m(x_1))$

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

t=4

- $I_1 = (m(x_1)) \subseteq \mathbf{Z}_p[x_1]$
- $f(x_1 + px_2) = p^2(x_2^{n_2} + m_2(x_1, x_2)) \pmod{m_1(x_1), p^3}$
- ► $l_2 = (m(x_1), x_2^{n_2} + m_2(x_1, x_2)) \subseteq \mathbf{Z}_p[x_1, x_2]$
- Computer the gcd of $x_2^{n_2} + m_2(x_1, x_2)$ and $x_2^p x_2$ over $\mathbf{F}_p[x_1]/(m(x_1))$
- Now assume that I₂ is zero dimensional and all roots are rational in Z_p, and I₂ (mod p) is radical in F_p[x₁, x₂].

•
$$f(x_1 + px_2 + p^2x_3) = p^3(x_3^{n_3} + m_3(x_1, x_2, x_3)) \pmod{l_2, p^4}$$

•
$$I_3 = (I_2, x_3^{n_3} + m_3(x_1, x_2, x_3))$$

• Computer the gcd of $x_3^{n_3} + m_3(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and $x_3^p - x_3$ over $\mathbf{F}_p[x_1, x_2]/(l_2)$

Triangular ideals



くして 「「」 (山下) (山下) (山下) (山下)

The need for uniformity

When we split $m(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ over \mathbb{F}_p , and lift naively back to \mathbb{Z} , we keep the first digit of \mathbb{Z}_p -root of m(x), but lose the information about the other digits.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Teichmuller lift

$\mathsf{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathsf{Z}_{\rho} \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \mathsf{Z}/(p^{n+1})\mathsf{Z} \twoheadrightarrow \mathsf{Z}/(p^{n}\mathsf{Z}) \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \mathsf{Z}/p\mathsf{Z}$

- The Teichmuller lift of $a \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ to $\mathbb{Z}/(p^n)\mathbb{Z}$ is a^{p^n} .
- ► Example: The naive lift of 3 ∈ Z/5 to Z/125 is 3. The Teichmuller lift is

$$3^{125} = 3 + 3 * 5 + 2 * 5^2 \pmod{125}$$
.

The lift is independent of the representation of a in Z/pZ because

$$(a+bp)^{p^i}=a^{p^i}\pmod{p^i}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Techmuller lift of polynomial roots

If $m(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$ is a monic polynomial of degree d > 0 such that $m(x) \mod p$ splits as a product of distinct linear factors

$$m(x) \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{d} (x - \alpha_i) \mod p, \ \alpha_i \in \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z},$$

then the Teichmuller lifting of $m(x) \mod p$ is defined to be the unique monic *p*-adic polynomial $\hat{m}(x) \in \mathbf{Z}_p[x]$ of degree *d* such that the *p*-adic roots of $\hat{m}(x)$ are exactly the Teichmuller lifting of the roots of $m(x) \mod p$. That is,

$$\hat{m}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} (x - w(\alpha_i)) \in \mathbf{Z}_p[x].$$

The Teichmuller lifting $\hat{m}(x)$ can be computed without factoring $m(x) \mod p$. Using the coefficients of m(x), one forms a $d \times d$ companion matrix M with integer entries such that $m(x) = \det(xI_d - M)$. Then, one can show that

$$\hat{m}(x) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \det(xI_d - M^{p^k}), \ \hat{m}(x) \equiv \det(xI_d - M^{p^t}) \mod p^t.$$

Consistency from Teichmuller lift

The roots of \hat{l} over $\mathbf{Z}/p^t \mathbf{Z}$ are precisely the Teichmuller liftings mod p^t of the roots of l over \mathbf{F}_p . Each point (r_1, \dots, r_k) over $\mathbf{Z}/p^t \mathbf{Z}$ of \hat{l} satisfies the condition $r_i^p \equiv r_i \mod p^t$.

- For any ideal *I_i* in the tree, there exists an integer s ∈ {i,..., t}, and if (r₁,..., r_i) is a solution of *I_i* in (Z/(p^t))ⁱ, then r₁ + pr₂ + ··· + pⁱ⁻¹r_i + pⁱr is a solution of f(x) (mod p^s) for any integer r. Denote the maximum such s by s(*I_i*).
- If r is a root of f (mod p^t), then there exists a terminal leaf I_k in the tree such that

$$r \equiv r_1 + pr_2 + \dots + p^{k-1}r_k \pmod{p^k}$$

for some root (r_1, \ldots, r_k) of I_k .

The root sets of ideals from distinct leaves are disjoint.

Termination conditions I

If $s(I_k) = t$ then each root of I_k in \mathbf{Z}_p^k produces exactly p^{t-k} roots of f in $\mathbf{Z}/(p^t)$. We can count the number of roots in \mathbf{F}_p^k of I_k , multiply it by p^{t-k} , output the number, and terminate the branch.

Termination conditions II

Let g be the polynomial satisfying

$$f(x_1 + px_2 + p^2 x_3 + \dots + p^{k-1} x_k + p^k x_{k+1}) \equiv p^{s(I_k)} g(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) \pmod{I_k}.$$

If $g \pmod{p}$ is a constant polynomial in x_{k+1} , and its constant is an invertible element (mod I_k, p), then the count on this leaf is zero.

Complexity analysis

$$f(x_1 + px_2 + p^2x_3 + p^3x_4 + \cdots)$$

=g_1(x_1) + pg_2(x_1, x_2) + p^2g_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) + \cdots \pmod{p^t}

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- The degree of x₂ in g₂ is less than t
- The degree of x_3 in g_3 is less than t/2
- The degree of x_i in g_i is less than t/(i-1)

The proof

- The number of children that an ideal with distance k from the root can have for is bounded from above by t/k, the degree of g.
- ► The complexity is determined by the size of the tree, which is bounded from above by d ∏_{1<k<t}(t/k) < de^t.
- We need to compute in the ring F_p[x₁,...,x_k]/I_k. The ring is a linear space over F_p with dimension at most d ∏_{1≤k≤t}(t/k) < de^t.

Open problem

• Complexity from $(d + \log p + 2^t)^{O(1)}$ to $(d + \log p + t)^{O(1)}$.

The end

Thank you !