# Computing L-polynomials of Non-Hyperelliptic Genus 4 and 5 curves

### Raminder Singh Ruprai, Steven D. Galbraith Information Security Group, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham, Surrey, UK

### Background

Let C be a non-singular, projective, non-hyperelliptic curve over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_a$  of genus g. Let  $\hat{C}$  be an affine, plane model of degree d of the curve C. When dealing with curves of genus 4 and 5,  $\tilde{C}$  can have singular points. This affects parts of the algorithm as we shall see. We are interested in using the cardinality of the group of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points on the Jacobian variety of C,  $J_C(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , to help find the L-polynomial of the given curve.

For a given positive integer k, let  $N_k$  be the number of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$ -rational points on C. The Zeta function of C is then the formal power series

$$Z(t) = \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{N_k t^k}{k}\right) = \frac{L(t)}{(1-t)(1-qt)} \tag{1}$$

where the L-polynomial  $L(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{2g} a_i t^i$ . The well-known theorem of Weil [5] provides us with many of the facts that we require.

**Theorem 1** (Weil). Let C be a genus g curve defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . For  $k \geq 1$  we let  $J_C(\mathbb{F}_{a^k})$  denote the group of  $\mathbb{F}_{a^k}$ -rational points on the Jacobian variety of C.

1. The L-polynomial has integer coefficients satisfying  $a_0 = 1$  and  $a_{2g-i} = q^{g-i}a_i$ , for  $0 \leq i < g$ .

2. 
$$L(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{2g} (1 - \alpha_i t)$$
, with  $|\alpha_i| = \sqrt{q}$ .

$$3. |a_i| \le \left(\begin{array}{c} 2g\\i \end{array}\right) q^{i/2}.$$

4.  $N_k = q^k + 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{2g} \alpha_i^k$ .

5. 
$$\#J_C(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}) = \prod_{j=1}^k L(\omega_k^j) = \prod_{i=1}^{2g} (1 - \alpha_i^k),$$
  
where  $\omega_k$  is a principal  $k^{th}$  root of unity.

In particular  $\#J_C(\mathbb{F}_q) = L(1)$  so by applying part (2) of Theorem 1 we obtain the Weil interval

$$(\sqrt{q}-1)^{2g} \le \# J_C(\mathbb{F}_q) \le (\sqrt{q}+1)^{2g}.$$
 (2)

A proof of Theorem 1 can be found in chapters 8 and 10 of [3].

Currently there are no fast algorithms which can compute the L-polynomial of a general non-hyperelliptic curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  where q is a large prime (as opposed to a large power of a small prime). The first basic method would be to use a *Baby-Step Giant-*Step (BSGS) approach to compute  $\#J_C(\mathbb{F}_q), \#J_C(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}), \ldots, \#J_C(\mathbb{F}_{q^g})$  and then by simple algebra solve for the coefficients of the L-polynomial. This would have running time of  $\tilde{O}(q^{g^2/2})$ . Another basic method would be to just count the  $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$ -rational points on C (i.e.  $N_k$ ) for  $1 \le k \le g$  and from point (4) of Theorem 1 we can compute the coefficients of the L-polynomial. This has running time  $O(q^g)$  which is faster than the first method for genus  $g \ge 2$ . Elkies in [2] describes a method which has a similar second stage to the algorithm presented here. In genus 4 the L-polynomial of a curve is recovered in time  $\tilde{O}(q^{3/2})$  and for genus 5 in time  $\tilde{O}(q^2)$ . We improve on this for curves of low degree.

Stage 1 is the dominant part of the algorithm and determines the best and worst case running time.

- 1. Calculate a random divisor D which represents an element of  $J_C(\mathbb{F}_q)$  where D splits completely over points in  $C_{ns}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ .
- 2. Fix a 'factor-base'  $\mathcal{F} \subset \tilde{C}_{ns}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  such that  $\#\mathcal{F} = \lceil q^r \rceil$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  contains the points in D. (If no such set exists, output "failure" and terminate.)
- 3. From step 1 store the relation as a row in a matrix M. Each column of M represents the points in  $\mathcal{F}$  so each row will represent the multiplicities of the corresponding points.
- 4. Go through all pairs of points in  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_i$  and  $\mathcal{F}_j$  where  $1 \leq i, j \leq \# \mathcal{F}$  and form a line through each pair of points. Compute the intersection of the line and  $\hat{C}$ , as described in [1]. If all the intersection points are in  $\tilde{C}_{ns}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  add a row to M as described in the previous step.
- 5. Calculate a number of random vectors  $v_k \in \ker(M^t)$  for  $0 < k < \dim(\ker(M^t))$
- 6. Calculate the greatest common divisor of  $\{v_{1_1}, v_{2_1}, \ldots, v_{k_1}\}$ . This is a multiple of the order of D. From this the exact order of D can be obtained and using the Weil interval, (2), we have a set of possibilities for L(1) which can be checked by simple trial and error.

L(1). polynomial

### Main Theorem

**Theorem 2.** For a genus 4 or 5 non-hyperelliptic curve there exists an algorithm to compute all the coefficients of the L-polynomial of the curve in time  $\tilde{O}(q^2)$  in the worst case but in time  $\tilde{O}(q^{4/3})$  when the plane model is of degree 5.

### Algorithm: Stage 1

The first stage of the algorithm is to compute  $\#J_C(\mathbb{F}_q) = L(1)$ . We use a variant of Diem's discrete logarithm algorithm in [1] to do this.

**Input:** An affine, plane model  $\hat{C}$  of degree d of a curve C. Denote  $\hat{C}_{ns}$  to be the non-singular part of C. Also define a fixed point  $P_0 \in C_{ns}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  (used to represent the elements in  $J_C(\mathbb{F}_q)$ ). Let r < 1 be a positive rational number defined in [1]. **Output:** A positive integer equal to  $\#J_C(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and therefore L(1).

- N.B. When implementing step 6 of the algorithm more often than not the greatest common divisor of  $\{v_{1_1}, v_{2_1}, \ldots, v_{k_1}\}$  is equal to L(1) rather than being a multiple of
- The overall running time is  $\tilde{O}(q^2)$  for a curve of any degree but the running time is  $O(q^{4/3})$  when we have a degree 5, genus 4 or 5 curve. We can calculate  $N_1$  the number of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points on C using the naive method in time O(q). (As there may be singular points on C and points at infinity extra care will need to be taken when calculating  $N_1$ .) From  $N_1$  we have the first non-trivial coefficient of the L-

$$a_1 = q + 1 - N_1.$$

rewrite L(-1) in two ways

Using a similar technique to Sutherland in [4] we let  $D_2$  be a random divisor representing an element of  $J_C(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$  and let  $D_{2L}$  be the  $L(1)^{\text{th}}$  power of  $D_2$  (i.e.  $D_{2L} =$  $L(1) * D_2$ ). We can find the coefficient  $a_3$  using a BSGS strategy based on the condition that  $L(-1) * D_{2L} = 0$  in time  $\tilde{O}(q^{3/4})$ . This still leaves coefficients  $a_2$  and  $a_4$ . However as we now know L(-1), using equation (3) we can rewrite  $a_4$  in terms of  $a_2$ . Working in  $J_C(\mathbb{F}_{q^3})$  which has order  $\#J_C(\mathbb{F}_{q^3}) = L(1) \cdot L(\omega_3) \cdot L(\omega_3^2)$  we can use BSGS again to find  $a_2$  and therefore  $a_4$  in time  $\tilde{O}(q^{1/2})$ . Both of these running times are less than the running time in Stage 1 so Stage 1 dominates and Theorem 2 is proved for genus 4.

In this case we have

$$a_2 = \frac{L(1)}{L(-1)}$$
$$L(-1) = 2(1 - L(1))$$

Using equation (5) and ideas of Sutherland [4], we get a restricted bound for  $a_2$ . We can put this into equation (6) which gives

 $\perp \pm q$ 

where K is a known constant,  $0 \le x \le 240q^{1/2}$  (approximately) and the bounds on  $a_4$  are given in part (3) of theorem 1. We can then use BSGS to find the correct value of L(-1) and therefore the values of  $a_2$  and  $a_4$  in time  $\tilde{O}(q^{5/4})$ . Again we have to do more work to find  $a_3$  and  $a_5$  by doing BSGS in  $J_C(\mathbb{F}_{q^3})$  which takes time  $O(q^{3/4})$ . Both of these times are dominated by Stage 1 and therefore Theorem 2 is proved for genus 5.

# References

- 543–557. Springer-Verlag, 2006.
- ics. 1996.
- of Computation, 2007.
- matical Society, 55:497–508, 1949.



## Algorithm: Stage 2

We now know L(1) and  $a_1$ . Taking the genus 4 case first, from Theorem 1 we can

$$L(-1) = 2(1+q^4) - L(1) + a_2(1+q^2) + a_4,$$

$$L(-1) = L(1) - 2a_1(1+q^3) - 2a_3(1+q).$$
(3)
(4)

For genus 5 we apply a very similar method but finding L(-1) requires more work.

$$\frac{) - (1 + q^5) - a_1(1 + q^4) - a_3(1 + q^2) - a_4(1 + q) - a_5}{1 + q^3}, \quad (5)$$

$$(6)$$
 +  $q^5$ ) -  $L(1) + 2a_2(1+q^3) + 2a_4(1+q),$ 

$$) - 2a_1(1+q^4) - 2a_3(1+q^2) - 2a_5.$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

$$L(-1) = K + 2x(1+q^3) + 2a_4(1+q),$$
(8)

[3] Dino Lorenzini. An invitation to arithmetic geometry, volume 9 of Graduate Studies in Mathemat-

<sup>[1]</sup> Claus Diem. Index calculus in class groups of plane curves of small degree. In Proceedings of Algorithm Number Theory Symposium - ANTS VII, volume 4076 of Springer-Verlag LNCS, pages

<sup>[2]</sup> Noam D. Elkies. Elliptic and modular curves over finite fields and related computational issues. In D.A. Buell and J.T. Teitelbaum, editors, Computational Perspectives on Number Theory: Proceedings of a Conference in Honor of A.O.L. Atkin, volume 7 of Studies in Advanced Mathematics, pages 21–76. American Mathematical Society, International Press, 1998.

<sup>[4]</sup> Andrew V. Sutherland. A generic approach to searching for jacobians. to appear in Mathematics

<sup>[5]</sup> André Weil. Numbers of solutions of equations in finite fields. Bulletin of the American Mathe-