

# Construction of Special K3 Surfaces

Andreas-Stephan Elsenhans

Mathematisches Institut der Universität Göttingen

22 May 2008

joint work with Jörg Jahnel

## Definition (K3 surface)

A K3 surface is a simply connected proper algebraic surface with trivial canonical class.

## Definition (K3 surface)

A K3 surface is a simply connected proper algebraic surface with trivial canonical class.

## Examples

- A K3 surface of degree 2 is a twofold cover of  $\mathbf{P}^2$ , ramified at a smooth sextic.
- A K3 surface of degree 4 is a smooth quartic in  $\mathbf{P}^3$ .
- A K3 surface of degree 6 is a smooth complete intersection of a quadric and a cubic in  $\mathbf{P}^4$ .
- A K3 surface of degree 8 is a smooth complete intersection of three quadrics in  $\mathbf{P}^5$ .

## Properties of K3 surfaces

*Betti numbers:*  $1, 0, 22, 0, 1$

*Hodge diamond:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & 1 \\ & & & 0 & 0 \\ & 1 & & 20 & 1 \\ & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & & & 1 \end{array}$$

*Picard group:*  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  for  $n \in \{1, \dots, 20\}$

To construct a K3 surface  $V$  of degree 2 over  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\text{Pic}(V) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .

That means:

- The equation of  $V$  has the form  $w^2 = f_6(x, y, z)$ .  
I.e.,  $V$  is a double cover of  $\mathbf{P}^2$  ramified at a smooth curve of degree 6.
- The geometric Picard group should be of rank 1.

To construct a K3 surface  $V$  of degree 2 over  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\text{Pic}(V) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .

That means:

- The equation of  $V$  has the form  $w^2 = f_6(x, y, z)$ .  
I.e.,  $V$  is a double cover of  $\mathbf{P}^2$  ramified at a smooth curve of degree 6.
- The geometric Picard group should be of rank 1.

## Additional condition:

- $f_6$  should be given in determinantal form.  
I.e.,  $f_6 = \det(M)$  for a symmetric matrix  $M$ .  
 $M$  should contain either linear or quadratic forms.

# Upper bounds for the Picard rank

- Let  $V$  be a K3 surface over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $p$  be a prime of good reduction. Then

$$\mathrm{Pic}(V_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}) \hookrightarrow H_{\mathrm{et}}^2(V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell).$$

# Upper bounds for the Picard rank

- Let  $V$  be a K3 surface over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $p$  be a prime of good reduction. Then

$$\mathrm{Pic}(V_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}) \hookrightarrow H_{\mathrm{et}}^2(V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell).$$

- Every divisor on  $V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$  is defined over a finite field. A sufficiently large power of the Frobenius acts trivially on  $\mathrm{Pic}(V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p})$ .



# Upper bounds for the Picard rank

- Let  $V$  be a K3 surface over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $p$  be a prime of good reduction. Then

$$\mathrm{Pic}(V_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}) \hookrightarrow H_{\mathrm{et}}^2(V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell).$$

- Every divisor on  $V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$  is defined over a finite field. A sufficiently large power of the Frobenius acts trivially on  $\mathrm{Pic}(V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p})$ .
- The Frobenius actions on  $\mathrm{Pic}(V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p})$  and  $H_{\mathrm{et}}^2(V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  are compatible.

$$\mathrm{rk}(\mathrm{Pic}(V_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})) \leq \mathrm{rk}(\mathrm{Pic}(V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p})) \stackrel{(*)}{\leq} \# \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Frobenius eigenvalues on} \\ H_{\mathrm{et}}^2(V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \\ \text{which are of the form } p\zeta. \end{array} \right\}$$

# Upper bounds for the Picard rank

- Let  $V$  be a K3 surface over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $p$  be a prime of good reduction. Then

$$\mathrm{Pic}(V_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}) \hookrightarrow H_{\mathrm{et}}^2(V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell).$$

- Every divisor on  $V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$  is defined over a finite field. A sufficiently large power of the Frobenius acts trivially on  $\mathrm{Pic}(V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p})$ .
- The Frobenius actions on  $\mathrm{Pic}(V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p})$  and  $H_{\mathrm{et}}^2(V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  are compatible.

$$\mathrm{rk}(\mathrm{Pic}(V_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})) \leq \mathrm{rk}(\mathrm{Pic}(V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p})) \stackrel{(*)}{\leq} \# \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Frobenius eigenvalues on} \\ H_{\mathrm{et}}^2(V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \\ \text{which are of the form } p\zeta. \end{array} \right\}$$

- Every prime of good reduction leads to an upper bound for  $\mathrm{rk}(\mathrm{Pic}(V_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}))$ . This bound is always even. The Tate conjecture implies equality in  $(*)$ .

# The structure of the Picard group I

Let  $V: w^2 = f_6(x, y, z)$  be a K3 surface.

- Divisors are constructed by pulling back a divisor of  $\mathbf{P}^2$  and splitting.
- Assume that „ $f_6(x, y, z) = 0$ “ allows a tritangent  $G$ . The pull-back of  $G$  splits into two projective lines  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ .

# The structure of the Picard group I

Let  $V: w^2 = f_6(x, y, z)$  be a K3 surface.

- Divisors are constructed by pulling back a divisor of  $\mathbf{P}^2$  and splitting.
- Assume that „ $f_6(x, y, z) = 0$ “ allows a tritangent  $G$ . The pull-back of  $G$  splits into two projective lines  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ .
- The adjunction formula  $2g - 2 = D(D + K) = D^2$  can be used to calculate the intersection product.

$$\begin{aligned} -2 &= D_1^2 = D_2^2 \\ 2 &= 2G^2 = (D_1 + D_2)^2 = D_1^2 + D_2^2 + 2D_1D_2 \\ \Rightarrow 3 &= D_1D_2 \end{aligned}$$

- Discriminant of the lattice  $\langle D_1, D_2 \rangle$ :

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 3 \\ 3 & -2 \end{pmatrix} = 4 - 9 = -5.$$

# The structure of the Picard group II

Let  $V: w^2 = f_6(x, y, z)$  be a K3 surface. Assume the existence of a conic  $Q$  which intersects „ $f_6(x, y, z) = 0$ “ only with even multiplicities.

- The pull-back of  $Q$  splits into two projective lines  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ .
- The pull-back of a line  $G$  leads to a divisor  $D = \pi^*(G)$ .
- Intersection products:

$$-2 = C_1^2 = C_2^2$$

$$2 = 2G^2 = D^2$$

$$4 = 2QG = (C_1 + C_2)D \Rightarrow C_1D = 2$$

# The structure of the Picard group II

Let  $V: w^2 = f_6(x, y, z)$  be a K3 surface. Assume the existence of a conic  $Q$  which intersects „ $f_6(x, y, z) = 0$ “ only with even multiplicities.

- The pull-back of  $Q$  splits into two projective lines  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ .
- The pull-back of a line  $G$  leads to a divisor  $D = \pi^*(G)$ .
- Intersection products:

$$-2 = C_1^2 = C_2^2$$

$$2 = 2G^2 = D^2$$

$$4 = 2QG = (C_1 + C_2)D \Rightarrow C_1D = 2$$

- Discriminant of the lattice  $\langle C_1, D \rangle$ :

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = -4 - 4 = -8.$$

- This lattice can not be refined to a lattice of discriminant  $-2$ .

# How to prove $\text{rk}(\text{Pic}(V)) = 1$

Let  $V: w^2 = f_6(x, y, z)$  be a K3 surface defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

## Assume

- $V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_3}$  has Picard rank 2 and „ $f_6(x, y, z) = 0$ “ has conic with only even intersection multiplicities over  $\mathbb{F}_3$ .
- $V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_5}$  has Picard rank 2 and „ $f_6(x, y, z) = 0$ “ has a tritangent over  $\mathbb{F}_5$ .

Then  $V$  is of geometric Picard rank 1.

# How to prove $\text{rk}(\text{Pic}(V)) = 1$

Let  $V: w^2 = f_6(x, y, z)$  be a K3 surface defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

## Assume

- $V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_3}$  has Picard rank 2 and „ $f_6(x, y, z) = 0$ “ has conic with only even intersection multiplicities over  $\mathbb{F}_3$ .
- $V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_5}$  has Picard rank 2 and „ $f_6(x, y, z) = 0$ “ has a tritangent over  $\mathbb{F}_5$ .

Then  $V$  is of geometric Picard rank 1.

Proof:

The discriminants are not compatible.



# How to prove $\text{rk}(\text{Pic}(V)) = 1$

Let  $V: w^2 = f_6(x, y, z)$  be a K3 surface defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

## Assume

- $V_{\mathbb{F}_3}$  has Picard rank 2 and „ $f_6(x, y, z) = 0$ “ has conic with only even intersection multiplicities over  $\mathbb{F}_3$ .
- $V_{\mathbb{F}_5}$  has Picard rank 2 and „ $f_6(x, y, z) = 0$ “ has a tritangent over  $\mathbb{F}_5$ .

Then  $V$  is of geometric Picard rank 1.

Proof:

The discriminants are not compatible.

See [Ronald van Luijk: Rational points on K3 surfaces, PhD Thesis (2005)].

To construct a K3 surface of Picard rank 1, we need the following.

- An algorithm that searches for tritangents of „ $f_6 = 0$ “.
- An algorithm that searches for conics which intersect „ $f_6 = 0$ “ only with even multiplicities.
- An algorithm to compute the characteristic polynomial  $\chi_\phi(t)$  of the Frobenius.

More precisely, an algorithm to prove that  $\chi_\phi(t)$  has at most two zeros of the form  $p\zeta$  (counted with multiplicities).

These two zeros correspond to the two divisors we know explicitly.

# Searching for tritangents

How to search for tritangents of „ $f_6(x, y, z) = 0$ “:

- Consider a generic line  $g: t \mapsto [1 : t : a + bt]$ .  
 $f_6(g(t))$  is a square in  $\overline{K}[t]$  if and only if  $g$  is a tritangent of „ $f_6 = 0$ “.
- This leads to an algebraic system of equations.  
It can be solved by the computation of a Gröbner basis.  
The tritangents can be read off explicitly from the Gröbner basis.
- The remaining lines can be treated via the parametrizations  $t \mapsto [1 : a : t]$  and  $t \mapsto [0 : 1 : t]$ .

# Searching for tritangents

How to search for tritangents of „ $f_6(x, y, z) = 0$ “:

- Consider a generic line  $g: t \mapsto [1 : t : a + bt]$ .  
 $f_6(g(t))$  is a square in  $\overline{K}[t]$  if and only if  $g$  is a tritangent of „ $f_6 = 0$ “.
- This leads to an algebraic system of equations.  
It can be solved by the computation of a Gröbner basis.  
The tritangents can be read off explicitly from the Gröbner basis.
- The remaining lines can be treated via the parametrizations  $t \mapsto [1 : a : t]$  and  $t \mapsto [0 : 1 : t]$ .

## Remarks

- The computation time is less than 1 sec per example.
- From time to time, we find tritangents on randomly chosen examples.

Searching for conics intersecting „ $f_6(x, y, z) = 0$ “ only with even multiplicities:

- Consider a conic  $q: t \mapsto [q_1(t) : q_2(t) : q_3(t)]$ .  
 $f_6(q(t))$  is a square in  $\overline{K}[t]$  if and only if all intersection multiplicities are even.
- This leads to an algebraic system of equations.
- The computation of a Gröbner basis fails.

# Searching for conics defined over $\mathbb{F}_p$

Searching for conics defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  intersecting „ $f_6(x, y, z) = 0$ “ only with even multiplicities:

- Build up a list of all smooth conics defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .
- Factor  $f_6(q(t))$  for each conic in the list.

# Searching for conics defined over $\mathbb{F}_p$

Searching for conics defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  intersecting „ $f_6(x, y, z) = 0$ “ only with even multiplicities:

- Build up a list of all smooth conics defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .
- Factor  $f_6(q(t))$  for each conic in the list.

## Remarks

- Instead of factoring  $f_6(q(t))$ , one could run an IsSquare routine.
- The number of all smooth conics is  $p^5 - p^2$ .
- The running time is  $O(p^{5+\epsilon})$ .
- From time to time, we find such conics on randomly chosen examples.

# The characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius

Let  $V$  be a K3 surface and  $\phi$  be the Frobenius on  $H_{\text{et}}^2(V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ .

Theorem (Lefschetz trace formula)

$$\text{Tr}(\phi^d) = \#V(\mathbb{F}_{p^d}) - p^{2d} - 1$$

Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{22}$  be the eigenvalues of  $\phi$  on  $H_{\text{et}}^2(V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ .

$$\text{Tr}(\phi^d) = \lambda_1^d + \dots + \lambda_{22}^d$$

Using Newton's identity, one can compute the symmetric functions  $\sigma_i$  of  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{22}$ . These are the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial  $\chi_\phi$ .



# The characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius

Let  $V$  be a K3 surface and  $\phi$  be the Frobenius on  $H_{\text{et}}^2(V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ .

Theorem (Lefschetz trace formula)

$$\text{Tr}(\phi^d) = \#V(\mathbb{F}_{p^d}) - p^{2d} - 1$$

Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{22}$  be the eigenvalues of  $\phi$  on  $H_{\text{et}}^2(V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ .

$$\text{Tr}(\phi^d) = \lambda_1^d + \dots + \lambda_{22}^d$$

Using Newton's identity, one can compute the symmetric functions  $\sigma_i$  of  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{22}$ . These are the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial  $\chi_\phi$ .

**Consequence:** We have to count points on  $V$ .

# How far does one have to count?

Let  $\chi_\phi$  be the characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius on  $H_{\text{et}}^2(V_{\mathbb{F}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ .

- Naively, one has to count the number of rational points over  $\mathbb{F}_p, \dots, \mathbb{F}_{p^{22}}$ .

# How far does one have to count?

Let  $\chi_\phi$  be the characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius on  $H_{\text{et}}^2(V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ .

- Naively, one has to count the number of rational points over  $\mathbb{F}_p, \dots, \mathbb{F}_{p^{22}}$ .
- The functional equation  $p^{22}\chi_\phi(t) = \pm\chi_\phi(\frac{p^2}{t})$  leads to a relation between  $\sigma_i$  and  $\sigma_{22-i}$ . Thus, it suffices to count the rational points over  $\mathbb{F}_p, \dots, \mathbb{F}_{p^{11}}$ .

# How far does one have to count?

Let  $\chi_\phi$  be the characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius on  $H_{\text{et}}^2(V_{\mathbb{F}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ .

- Naively, one has to count the number of rational points over  $\mathbb{F}_p, \dots, \mathbb{F}_{p^{22}}$ .
- The functional equation  $p^{22}\chi_\phi(t) = \pm\chi_\phi(\frac{p^2}{t})$  leads to a relation between  $\sigma_i$  and  $\sigma_{22-i}$ . Thus, it suffices to count the rational points over  $\mathbb{F}_p, \dots, \mathbb{F}_{p^{11}}$ .
- Using  $\chi_\phi(p) = 0$ , we get a linear relation for the coefficients of  $\chi_\phi$ . Hence, it suffices to count the rational points over  $\mathbb{F}_p, \dots, \mathbb{F}_{p^{10}}$ .

# How far does one have to count? II

We don't want to compute  $\chi_\phi$ . We want to prove that  $\chi_\phi$  has at most two zero of the form  $\zeta p$ .

- The assumption of zeros leads to linear relations for the coefficients.

# How far does one have to count? II

We don't want to compute  $\chi_\phi$ . We want to prove that  $\chi_\phi$  has at most two zero of the form  $\zeta p$ .

- The assumption of zeros leads to linear relations for the coefficients.
- Having counted the number of rational points over  $\mathbb{F}_p, \dots, \mathbb{F}_{p^9}$ , one can try to derive a contradiction to the existence of an additional zero of the form  $\zeta p$ .

# How far does one have to count? II

We don't want to compute  $\chi_\phi$ . We want to prove that  $\chi_\phi$  has at most two zero of the form  $\zeta p$ .

- The assumption of zeros leads to linear relations for the coefficients.
- Having counted the number of rational points over  $\mathbb{F}_p, \dots, \mathbb{F}_{p^9}$ , one can try to derive a contradiction to the existence of an additional zero of the form  $\zeta p$ .

## Theorem (Deligne-Weil)

*All roots of the characteristic polynomial  $\chi_\phi$  have absolute value  $p$ .*

# How far does one have to count? II

We don't want to compute  $\chi_\phi$ . We want to prove that  $\chi_\phi$  has at most two zero of the form  $\zeta p$ .

- The assumption of zeros leads to linear relations for the coefficients.
- Having counted the number of rational points over  $\mathbb{F}_p, \dots, \mathbb{F}_{p^9}$ , one can try to derive a contradiction to the existence of an additional zero of the form  $\zeta p$ .

## Theorem (Deligne-Weil)

*All roots of the characteristic polynomial  $\chi_\phi$  have absolute value  $p$ .*

This theorem can be used to disprove that a given polynomial is the characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius for any K3 surface. Just calculate the roots as floating point numbers.



# How to count points fast?

Let  $V: w^2 = f_6(x, y, z)$  be a K3 surface defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

- $\#V(\mathbb{F}_q) = \sum_{[x:y:z] \in \mathbf{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)} 1 + \chi_2(f_6(x, y, z))$

This requires  $q^2 + q + 1$  evaluations of  $f_6$  and  $\chi_2$ .

# How to count points fast?

Let  $V: w^2 = f_6(x, y, z)$  be a K3 surface defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

- $\#V(\mathbb{F}_q) = \sum_{[x:y:z] \in \mathbf{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)} 1 + \chi_2(f_6(x, y, z))$

This requires  $q^2 + q + 1$  evaluations of  $f_6$  and  $\chi_2$ .

- $V$  is defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Therefore, the summands for  $[x : y : z]$  and  $[\phi(x) : \phi(y) : \phi(z)]$  coincide.

It is enough to inspect a fundamental domain of the Frobenius.

We gain a factor of  $[\mathbb{F}_q : \mathbb{F}_p]$ .

# Counting points in a decoupled situation

Let  $V: w^2 = f_6(x, y, z)$  be a K3 surface defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .  
We call  $f_6$  *decoupled* if  $f_6(1, y, z) = g(y) + h(z)$ .

# Counting points in a decoupled situation

Let  $V: w^2 = f_6(x, y, z)$  be a K3 surface defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

We call  $f_6$  *decoupled* if  $f_6(1, y, z) = g(y) + h(z)$ .

- $$\begin{aligned} \#V(\mathbb{F}_q) &= \sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_q} \#g^{-1}(u) \sum_{v \in \mathbb{F}_q} \#h^{-1}(v) (1 + \chi_2(u + v)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{[0:y:z] \in \mathbf{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)} 1 + \chi_2(f_6(0, y, z)) \end{aligned}$$

# Counting points in a decoupled situation

Let  $V: w^2 = f_6(x, y, z)$  be a K3 surface defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

We call  $f_6$  *decoupled* if  $f_6(1, y, z) = g(y) + h(z)$ .

- $$\begin{aligned} \#V(\mathbb{F}_q) &= \sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_q} \#g^{-1}(u) \sum_{v \in \mathbb{F}_q} \#h^{-1}(v) (1 + \chi_2(u + v)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{[0:y:z] \in \mathbf{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)} 1 + \chi_2(f_6(0, y, z)) \end{aligned}$$
- The double sum has approximately  $q^2(1 - \frac{1}{e})^2$  summands such that  $\#g^{-1}(u) > 0$  and  $\#h^{-1}(v) > 0$ .

# Counting points in a decoupled situation

Let  $V: w^2 = f_6(x, y, z)$  be a K3 surface defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

We call  $f_6$  *decoupled* if  $f_6(1, y, z) = g(y) + h(z)$ .

$$\bullet \#V(\mathbb{F}_q) = \sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_q} \#g^{-1}(u) \sum_{v \in \mathbb{F}_q} \#h^{-1}(v) (1 + \chi_2(u + v)) \\ + \sum_{[0:y:z] \in \mathbf{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)} 1 + \chi_2(f_6(0, y, z))$$

- The double sum has approximately  $q^2(1 - \frac{1}{e})^2$  summands such that  $\#g^{-1}(u) > 0$  and  $\#h^{-1}(v) > 0$ .
- For evaluation, one can build up tables stating how often  $g$  and  $h$  represent which value. Then, the evaluation reduces to one addition in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  per summand.

# Counting points in a decoupled situation

Let  $V: w^2 = f_6(x, y, z)$  be a K3 surface defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

We call  $f_6$  *decoupled* if  $f_6(1, y, z) = g(y) + h(z)$ .

$$\bullet \#V(\mathbb{F}_q) = \sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_q} \#g^{-1}(u) \sum_{v \in \mathbb{F}_q} \#h^{-1}(v) (1 + \chi_2(u + v)) \\ + \sum_{[0:y:z] \in \mathbf{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)} 1 + \chi_2(f_6(0, y, z))$$

- The double sum has approximately  $q^2(1 - \frac{1}{e})^2$  summands such that  $\#g^{-1}(u) > 0$  and  $\#h^{-1}(v) > 0$ .
- For evaluation, one can build up tables stating how often  $g$  and  $h$  represent which value. Then, the evaluation reduces to one addition in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  per summand.
- Additionally, one table can be restricted to a fundamental domain of the Frobenius.
- The resulting algorithm is approximately 10 times faster than that for the general case.

# A determinantal example with linear forms I

## Example

$V: w^2 = \det(xM_x + yM_y + zM_z)$  is a K3 surface of geometric Picard rank 1.

$$\begin{aligned}f_6(x, y, z) = \det(xM_x + yM_y + zM_z) = & \\ & - 106613x^6 - 8455829x^5y + 3479390x^5z - 5386183x^4y^2 \\ & - 10075660x^4yz + 3946878x^4z^2 + 3130266x^3y^3 - 10182480x^3y^2z \\ & - 858915x^3yz^2 + 582012x^3z^3 + 2787726x^2y^4 + 1029050x^2y^3z \\ & - 776790x^2y^2z^2 + 3132270x^2yz^3 - 1365782x^2z^4 - 4062988xy^5 \\ & - 4529440xy^4z - 4108065xy^3z^2 + 1753440xy^2z^3 + 2845590xyz^4 \\ & - 388050xz^5 - 448780y^6 + 3612620y^5z + 4818675y^4z^2 \\ & - 1646250y^3z^3 - 2435045y^2z^4 + 275960yz^5 + 30699z^6\end{aligned}$$



# A determinantal example with linear forms II

The matrices:

$$M_x := \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 9 & 3 & 9 & 14 & 11 \\ 9 & 13 & 0 & 7 & 6 & 10 \\ 3 & 0 & 14 & 10 & 13 & 5 \\ 9 & 7 & 10 & 7 & 1 & 13 \\ 14 & 6 & 13 & 1 & 5 & 2 \\ 11 & 10 & 5 & 13 & 2 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$M_y := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 5 & 13 & 13 & 5 & 1 \\ 5 & 2 & 5 & 11 & 8 & 11 \\ 13 & 5 & 0 & 10 & 13 & 0 \\ 13 & 11 & 10 & 13 & 10 & 10 \\ 5 & 8 & 13 & 10 & 5 & 11 \\ 1 & 11 & 0 & 10 & 11 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$M_z := \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 5 & 0 \\ 1 & 10 & 9 & 4 & 14 & 4 \\ 1 & 9 & 2 & 13 & 10 & 2 \\ 1 & 4 & 13 & 6 & 12 & 7 \\ 5 & 14 & 10 & 12 & 8 & 11 \\ 0 & 4 & 2 & 7 & 11 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

## Reduction modulo 3

- *Conic:*  $xz + y^2 + 2yz + 2z^2 = 0$ ,  
*Parametrization*  $q: u \mapsto [2u^2 + u + 1 : u : 1]$ ,  
 $f_6(q(u)) = (u^2 + u + 2)^2(u^4 + u + 2)^2$ .
- *Traces on*  $H_{\text{et}}^2(V_{\mathbb{F}_3}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ :  
3, 9, 54, -27, 648, 3 888, 10 692, 32 805, 100 602, 463 644.
- *Scaled characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius:*

$$(t-1)^2(3t^{20} + 3t^{19} + 3t^{18} + 2t^{17} + 3t^{16} + 2t^{15} \\ - 2t^{13} - 3t^{12} - 4t^{11} - 6t^{10} - 4t^9 - 3t^8 \\ - 2t^7 + 2t^5 + 3t^4 + 2t^3 + 3t^2 + 3t + 3)/3.$$

## Reduction modulo 5

- *Tritangent:*  $x = 0$
- *Traces on  $H_{\text{et}}^2(V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_5}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ :*  
11, 41, 86, 1081, -2024, 11396, 418716, 1298561, 8089556,  
-19047174.
- *Scaled characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius:*

$$(t-1)^2(5t^{20} - t^{19} + t^{18} + 2t^{17} + 3t^{15} + t^{14} - 2t^{13} + t^{12} - t^{11} + 2t^{10} - t^9 + t^8 - 2t^7 + t^6 + 3t^5 + 2t^3 + t^2 - t + 5)/5.$$

# An determinantal example with quadratic forms I

## Example

$V: w^2 = \det(M(x, y, z))$  is a K3 surface of geometric Picard rank 1.

$$m_{11} = 9x^2 + 5xy + 9xz + 11y^2 + 10yz$$

$$m_{12} = m_{21} = 2x^2 + 10xy + 10xz + 10yz + 8z^2$$

$$m_{13} = m_{31} = 14x^2 + 12xy + 7xz + 9y^2 + 13yz + 7z^2$$

$$m_{22} = x^2 + 4xy + 9y^2 + 6yz + 3z^2$$

$$m_{23} = m_{32} = 4xy + 12xz + y^2 + 4yz + 14z^2$$

$$m_{33} = 14x^2 + 5xy + 6xz + 5y^2 + 5yz + 3z^2$$

# An determinantal example with quadratic forms II

$$\begin{aligned}f_6(x, y, z) = \det(M) = & \\ & - 196x^6 - 1078x^5y + 56x^5z - 2254x^4y^2 - 320x^4yz \\ & + 546x^4z^2 - 2369x^3y^3 - 1410x^3y^2z + 3145x^3yz^2 \\ & + 1354x^3z^3 - 1873x^2y^4 - 2390x^2y^3z + 2180x^2y^2z^2 \\ & + 6360x^2yz^3 + 882x^2z^4 - 1241xy^5 - 3225xy^4z \\ & - 1530xy^3z^2 + 4225xy^2z^3 + 6060xyz^4 + 2031xz^5 \\ & - 245y^6 - 1235y^5z - 2700y^4z^2 - 1515y^3z^3 + 475y^2z^4 \\ & + 1810yz^5 + 1229z^6\end{aligned}$$

## Reduction modulo 3

- *Conic:*  $x^2 + xy + 2xz + z^2 = 0$ ,

*Parametrization:*  $q: u \mapsto [u^2 : 2 : 2u^2 + 2u]$ ,

$$f_6(q(u)) = (u + 1)^2(u^5 + u^4 + u^3 + u + 1)^2.$$

- *Traces on*  $H_{\text{et}}^2(V_{\mathbb{F}_3}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ :

5, 7, 71, 103, 65, -305, -625, -16 769, 129 734, -28 823.

- *Scaled characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius:*

$$(t - 1)^2(3t^{20} + t^{19} + 2t^{18} + t^{16} + t^{15} + 2t^{14} + 2t^{13} + 3t^{12} + 2t^{10} + 3t^8 + 2t^7 + 2t^6 + t^5 + t^4 + 2t^2 + t + 3)/3.$$

## Reduction modulo 5

- *Tritangent*:  $x = 0$
- *Traces on  $H_{\text{et}}^2(V_{\mathbb{F}_5}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$* :  
8, 44, -103, 1 236, 3 043, -7 891, -495 683, 970 196, 12 359 273,  
-11 502 651.
- *Scaled characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius*:

$$(t-1)^2(5t^{20} + 2t^{19} + t^{18} + 5t^{17} + 2t^{16} + 2t^{15} + 5t^{14} \\ + 8t^{13} + 4t^{12} + 2t^{11} + 8t^{10} + 2t^9 + 4t^8 \\ + 8t^7 + 5t^6 + 2t^5 + 2t^4 + 5t^3 + t^2 + 2t + 5)/5.$$

# Details of the construction I

We construct  $\mathbb{F}_3$  examples and  $\mathbb{F}_5$  examples separately and combine them via the Chinese remainder theorem.

Algorithm for the  $\mathbb{F}_3$  part:

- Choose  $M$  randomly symmetric and calculate  $f_6 = \det(M)$ .
- Test the smoothness of „ $f_6(x, y, z) = 0$ “ using a Gröbner basis.
- Search for tritangents and conics with even intersection multiplicities.
- If there is no tritangent and exactly one such conic then count the rational points of the K3 surface defined over  $\mathbb{F}_3, \dots, \mathbb{F}_{3^{10}}$ .
- Calculate and factorize the characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius.
- We succeed if the degree 20 factor contains no cyclotomic polynomial.



The  $\mathbb{F}_5$  examples can only be handled in the decoupled case. Chose some of the entries of  $M$  randomly such that decoupling leads to a linear system for the remaining coefficients.

- Test smoothness of „ $f_6(x, y, z) = 0$ “ using a Gröbner basis.
- Search for tritangents and conics with even intersection multiplicities.
- If there is exactly one tritangent and no such conic then count the rational points of the K3 surface defined over  $\mathbb{F}_5, \dots, \mathbb{F}_{5^9}$ .
- Try to prove  $\text{rk}(\text{Pic}(V_{\mathbb{F}_p})) = 2$ .
- If the proof is successful then we count the rational points defined over  $\mathbb{F}_{5^{10}}$ .
- Calculate and factorize the characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius.

## Conclusion

- Found explicit examples of K3 surfaces over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of degree 2 and geometric Picard rank 1.
- Calculations are based on reduction modulo  $p$  methods.
- Methods are flexible enough to construct many examples.  
We illustrated this by constructing examples in determinantal form.

## Estimates for the Picard rank in characteristic $p$

- Lower bounds by explicitly constructing divisors.
- Upper bounds by point counting.
- Provable ranks are always even.

## Bounds for characteristic zero

- Reduction modulo  $p$  induces an injection on the Picard lattices.
- Incompatibility of the discriminants reduces the rank.