

# ON THE COMPUTATION OF THE COEFFICIENTS OF A MODULAR FORM

ANTS VII, BERLIN

BAS EDIXHOVEN, UNIVERSITEIT LEIDEN

Joint work with Jean-Marc Couveignes, Robin de Jong, Franz Merkl, and Johan Bosman.

Motivated by a question by René Schoof.

Detailed text available on arxiv.

## THE MAIN RESULTS

Definition of Ramanujan's  $\tau$ -function:

$$x \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - x^n)^{24} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \tau(n) x^n \quad \text{in } \mathbb{Z}[[x]].$$

**Theorem 1** *There exists a probabilistic algorithm that on input a prime number  $p$  gives  $\tau(p)$ , in expected running time polynomial in  $\log p$ .*

## THE MAIN RESULTS

Behind the theorem is the existence of certain Galois representations. The function  $\Delta$  on the complex upper half plane  $\mathbb{H}$  given by:

$$\Delta : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad z \mapsto \sum_{n \geq 1} \tau(n) e^{2\pi i n z}$$

is a modular form, the so-called discriminant modular form. It is a new-form of level 1 and weight 12.

## THE MAIN RESULTS

Deligne showed (1969) that, as conjectured by Serre, for each prime number  $l$  there is a (necessarily unique) semi-simple continuous representation:

$$\rho_l: \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Gal}(K_l/\mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(V_l),$$

with  $V_l$  a two-dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_l$ -vector space, such that  $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow K_l$  is unramified at all primes  $p \neq l$ , and such that for all  $p \neq l$  the characteristic polynomial of  $\rho_l(\text{Frob}_p)$  is given by:

$$\det(1 - x\text{Frob}_p, V_l) = 1 - \tau(p)x + p^{11}x^2.$$

In particular, we have  $\text{trace}(\rho_l\text{Frob}_p) = \tau(p) \pmod{l}$  for all primes  $p \neq l$ .

Serre and Swinnerton-Dyer: for  $l$  not in  $\{2, 3, 5, 7, 23, 691\}$  we have  $\text{im}(\rho_l) \supset \text{SL}(V_l)$ .

## THE MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 2** *There exists a probabilistic algorithm that computes  $\rho_l$  in time polynomial in  $l$ . It gives:*

- 1. the extension  $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow K_l$ , given as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis  $e$  and the products  $e_i e_j = \sum_k a_{i,j,k} e_k$ ;*
- 2. a list of the elements  $\sigma$  of  $\text{Gal}(K_l/\mathbb{Q})$ , where each  $\sigma$  is given as its matrix with respect to  $e$ ;*
- 3. the injective morphism  $\rho_l: \text{Gal}(K_l/\mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_l)$ .*

Theorem 2 implies Theorem 1 via “standard” algorithms.

Note:  $|\tau(p)| < 2p^{11/2}$  by Deligne.

## CONTEXT AND MOTIVATION

0. More congruences for  $\tau(p)$  than the classical ones.
1. Relation to Schoof's algorithm for elliptic curves and Pila's generalisation to curves of fixed genus and abelian varieties of fixed dimension.
2. Computation of non-solvable global field extensions predicted by Langlands' program.
3. Computation of higher degree etale cohomology with  $\mathbb{F}_l$ -coefficients, with its Galois action.
4. Evidence towards existence of polynomial time computation of  $\#X(\mathbb{F}_p)$  for  $X$  a fixed  $\mathbb{Z}$ -scheme of finite type.

## WHERE TO FIND $V_l$

Deligne's work shows that  $V_l$  occurs in:

$$H^{1,1}(E_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}},\text{et}}^{1,0}, \mathbb{F}_l)^\vee,$$

$$H^1(j\text{-line}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}},\text{et}}, \text{Sym}^{1,0}(R^1\pi_*\mathbb{F}_l))^\vee,$$

$$J_l(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})[l].$$

Here  $J_l = \text{jac}(X_l)$ , and  $X_l = X_1(l)$ ,  $X_1(l)(\mathbb{C}) = \Gamma_1(l) \backslash (\mathbb{H} \cup \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}))$ .

Problem:  $g_l := \text{genus}(X_l)$  is approximately  $l^2/24$ .

Couveignes' suggestion: don't use computer algebra, but approximation and height bounds instead.

## STRATEGY

We have:

$$J_l(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^{g_l} / \Lambda, \quad \Lambda = H_1(X_l(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$$

$$V_l \subset J_l(\mathbb{C})[l] = (l^{-1}\Lambda) / \Lambda$$

$$V_l = \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq l^2} \ker(T_i - \tau(i))$$

$$\infty \in X_l(\mathbb{Q})$$

We choose:

$$f: X_{l,\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1$$

as simple as possible.



## STRATEGY

$$\phi: X_l(\mathbb{C})^{g_l} \longrightarrow J_l(\mathbb{C}) \xlongequal{\quad\quad\quad} \mathbb{C}^{g_l} / \Lambda$$

$$Q \longmapsto [Q_1 + \cdots + Q_{g_l} - g_l \cdot \infty] = \sum_{i=1}^{g_l} \int_{\infty}^{Q_i} (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{g_l}),$$

where  $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{g_l})$  is a basis of normalised newforms.

For  $x$  in  $V_l \subset l^{-1}\Lambda/\Lambda$ , there are  $Q_{x,1}, \dots, Q_{x,g_l}$ , unique up to permutation, such that  $\phi(Q_x) = x$  (well, ...).

Consider:

$$P_l := \prod_{x \neq 0} (T - \sum_i f(Q_{x,i})) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{Q}[T].$$

## STRATEGY

Then  $K_l$  is the splitting field of  $P_l$ .

Show that the (*logarithmic*) *height* of the coefficients of  $P_l$  are  $O(l^c)$ . Recall:  $h(a/b) = \log(\max(|a|, |b|))$  if  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $b \neq 0$  and  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ .

Show that  $P_l$  can be approximated in  $\mathbb{C}[T]$  with a precision of  $n$  digits, in time  $O((ln)^c)$ . Or approximated  $p$ -adically, or reductions mod many small primes. . . .

## HEIGHT BOUND

**Theorem 3** (Edixhoven, de Jong) *There is an integer  $c$  such that for all  $l$  we can take  $f$  in such a way that the height of the coefficients of  $P_l$  are bounded above by  $l^c$ .*

Tool: Arakelov theory on  $X_l$  (Faltings' arithmetic Riemann-Roch, etc.).

To get an impression ( $D := g_l \cdot \infty$ ,  $B := \text{Spec}(O_{K_l})$ ,  $\mathcal{X}$  a model of  $X_l$ ,  $D'_x = \sum_i Q_{x,i}$ ):

$$\begin{aligned}
 (D'_x, \infty) + \log \#R^1 p_* O_{\mathcal{X}}(D'_x) &\leq -\frac{1}{2}(D, D - \omega_{\mathcal{X}/B}) + 2g_l^2 \sum_{s \in B} \delta_s \log \#k(s) \\
 &+ \sum_{\sigma} \log \|\vartheta\|_{\sigma, \text{sup}} + \frac{g_l}{2} [K_l : \mathbb{Q}] \log(2\pi) \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \deg \det p_* \omega_{\mathcal{X}/B} + (D, \infty),
 \end{aligned}$$

## HEIGHT BOUND

$$\log \|\vartheta\|_{\text{sup}} = O(l^6),$$

$$h_{\text{abs}}(X_l) = O(l^2 \log(l)), \quad (\text{absolute Faltings height})$$

$$\sup_{a \neq b} g_{a,\mu}(b) = O(l^6), \quad (\text{Arakelov's Green function; Merkl}).$$

## HEIGHT BOUND, A BYPRODUCT.

**Theorem 4** *A prime number  $p \nmid l$  is said to be  $l$ -good if for all  $x$  in  $V_l - \{0\}$  the following two conditions are satisfied:*

- 1. at all places  $v$  of  $K_l$  over  $p$  the specialisation  $(D'_x)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$  at  $v$  is the unique effective divisor on the reduction  $X_l, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  such that the difference with  $D_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$  represents the specialisation of  $x$ ;*
- 2. the specialisations of the non-cuspidal part  $D''_x$  of  $D'_x$  at all  $v$  above  $p$  are disjoint from the cusps.*

*Then we have:*

$$\sum_{p \text{ not } l\text{-good}} \log p \leq c \cdot l^{14}.$$

## COUVEIGNES' FINITE FIELD METHOD

**Theorem 5** (Couveignes) *There is a probabilistic algorithm that on input  $l$  computes for  $p$  a prime that is  $l$ -good, the reductions  $(D'_x)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$  of the divisors  $D'_x$  on  $X_{l, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$ , with an expected running time that is polynomial in  $l$  and  $p$ .*

Tool: computer algebra on  $X_{l, \mathbb{F}_{p^r}}$ , projecting random divisor classes into  $V_l$  using Hecke operators (well ...).

Why not polynomial in  $\log p$ ? Only because one needs the numerator of the zeta function of  $X_{l, \mathbb{F}_p}$ .

## EXAMPLES

Using Magma to do computations over  $\mathbb{C}$ , Johan Bosman has found, for  $l = 13, 17$  and  $19$ , polynomials  $P_l$ , of degrees  $l^2 - 1$ , and polynomials  $P'_l$  of degree  $l + 1$ .

We have no proof that these polynomials are correct, but they do pass the following tests:

1. the ring of integers of the corresponding number field ramifies only at  $l$ ,
2. the reductions modulo small primes  $p$  correspond to the orbit structures of  $\rho_l(\text{Frob}_p)$  on  $V_l - \{0\}$  and  $\mathbb{P}(V_l)$ .

## EXAMPLES

$$\begin{aligned} 2535853P'_{13} = & 2535853x^{14} - 127713190x^{13} - 9947603692x^{12} \\ & + 795085450224x^{11} - 29425303073920x^{10} \\ & + 667684302673440x^9 - 9974188441308416x^8 \\ & + 106364914419352576x^7 - 1012336515218109952x^6 \\ & + 9094902359324720640x^5 - 60847891441699468288x^4 \\ & + 324814691085008943104x^3 \\ & - 1761495929112889016320x^2 \\ & + 6235371687080448827392x \\ & - 10767442738728520761344. \end{aligned}$$



## EXAMPLES

A polynomial that gives the same extension (found using LLL):

$$\begin{aligned} &x^{14} + 7x^{13} + 26x^{12} + 78x^{11} + 169x^{10} + 52x^9 - 702x^8 - 1248x^7 \\ &+ 494x^6 + 2561x^5 + 312x^4 - 2223x^3 + 169x^2 + 506x - 215, \end{aligned}$$

## EXAMPLES

Required precision as suggested by Bosman's computations:

about 80 digits for  $l = 13$  (genus 2),

400 digits for  $l = 17$  (genus 5),

and 830 digits for  $l = 19$  (genus 7).

For  $l = 19$  the computations were distributed over several machines and still took a couple of months.

It seems that it is hard to get much further.

## EXAMPLES

Using same methods, Johan Bosman could also produce a polynomial that gives a  $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_{16})$  extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  (was still missing in tables of Jürgen Klüners), corresponding to a weight 2 modular form on  $\Gamma_0(137)$  (genus 11).

Klüners has checked that the Galois group is indeed  $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_{16})$ .

In this case, Bosman tries to *prove*, using Khare-Wintenberger, that his representation is right one.

## DETERMINISTIC VERSION?

**Theorem 6** (Couveignes, arxiv) *The operations of addition and subtraction in the complex Jacobian  $J_0(l)(\mathbb{C})$  of  $X_0(l)$  can be done in deterministic polynomial time in  $l$  and the required precision. More precisely, given elements  $P, Q$  and  $R$  of  $X_0(l)^g$ , elements  $S$  and  $D$  of  $X_0(l)^g$  can be computed in time polynomial in  $l$  and the required precision, such that  $\phi(S) = \phi(Q) + \phi(R)$  and  $\phi(D) = \phi(Q) - \phi(R)$  hold within the required precision. Moreover, for  $x$  in  $\mathbb{C}^g/\Lambda$ , one can compute  $Q$  in  $X_0(l)^g$  in time polynomial in  $l$  and the required precision, such that  $\phi(Q) = x$  holds within the required precision.*

This result will almost certainly be generalised to all curves  $X_1(n)$ , giving deterministic versions of Theorems 1 and 2.

THE END

Thank you for your attention!

Questions?