

Carmichael numbers with small index

Richard G.E. Pinch

2 Eldon Road, Cheltenham, Glos GL52 6TU, U.K.

rgrep@chalcedon.demon.co.uk

Introduction

We define the *index* of a Carmichael number N as the quotient $i(N) = (N - 1)/\lambda(N)$. We show that $i(N) \rightarrow \infty$ and $N \rightarrow \infty$ by giving an algorithm for listing all the numbers with given value of i . We illustrate by listing numbers with $i \leq 100$.

Carmichael numbers

A *Carmichael number* N is a composite number N with the property that for every b prime to N we have $b^{N-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$. It follows that a Carmichael number N must be square-free, with at least three prime factors, and that $p-1 \mid N-1$ for every prime p dividing N : conversely, any such N must be a Carmichael number.

For background on Carmichael numbers and details of previous computations we refer to our previous paper [3]: in that paper we described the computation of the Carmichael numbers up to 10^{15} and presented some statistics. These computations have since been extended to 10^{20} (see another poster at this conference).

We define the Carmichael *lambda function* $\lambda(N)$ to be the exponent of the multiplicative group $(\mathbf{Z}/N)^*$. The definition of Carmichael number is equivalent to the condition $\lambda(N) \mid N-1$. We define the *index* $i(N)$ to be the integer $(N-1)/\lambda(N)$.

Somer [4] proved a result implying that $i(N) \rightarrow \infty$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 1. *There are only finitely many Carmichael numbers of given index i .*

We give a simple proof of this result and an algorithm for computing all N with a given value of i . We illustrate by listing the Carmichael numbers with $i \leq 100$. In Table 1 we list the Carmichael numbers known to have index less than 100.

Carmichael numbers with given index

We fix parameters r, I and ℓ and aim to list all Carmichael numbers $N > M$ with r prime factors and index at most I . Since the index of such a Carmichael number is at least 2^{r-1} we see that for given I there are only finitely many values of r which can occur.

We choose $M = 10^{15}$ and use the results of [3] to list the Carmichael numbers less than M .

Let $E(P, q, d, k)$ be the set of numbers N such that $N = Pq_1 \cdots q_d$ with the q_i primes such that $q < q_1 < \cdots < q_d$ and such that N satisfies $\frac{N-1}{\phi(N)} = k$.

Clearly

$$E(P, q, d, k) = \bigcup_{q_1 > q} E(Pq_1, q_1, d-1, k)$$

where q_1 runs over primes greater than q . We show that the union is in fact finite. Put $Q = \prod_{i=1}^d q_i$. We have $N = PQ$ and $\frac{N-1}{\phi(N)} = \frac{PQ-1}{\phi(P)\phi(Q)} = k$.

If $k < \frac{P}{\phi(P)}$ then for $N = PQ \in E(P, q, d, k)$ we have

$$\frac{P}{\phi(P)} > k = \frac{PQ-1}{\phi(PQ)} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{PQ}\right) \frac{P}{\phi(P)} \frac{Q}{\phi(Q)}$$

so that

$$1 > \left(1 - \frac{1}{PQ}\right) \frac{Q}{\phi(Q)} > \left(1 - \frac{1}{Q}\right) \frac{Q}{\phi(Q)} = \frac{Q-1}{\phi(Q)}$$

so that $\phi(Q) > Q-1$, which is impossible.

If $\frac{P}{\phi(P)} \leq k$ then for $N = PQ \in E(P, q, d, k)$ we have

$$q_1 < \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{k\phi(P)}\right)^{1/d}\right)^{-1}$$

as an upper bound for q_1 .

We now observe that if n is a Carmichael number of index i with d prime factors, then $\lambda(n) \leq \phi(n)/2^{d-1} < (n-1)/2^{d-1}$, so that $i \geq 2^{d-1}$. Hence the set of Carmichael numbers with index $i \leq I$ is contained in

$$\bigcup_{1 < j < i < 1, 2^{d-1} \leq I} E(1, 2, d, i/j)$$

which is finite.

We have established Theorem 1. The proof gives a recursive procedure for finding all Carmichael number with given index.

Rate of growth of the index

Alford, Granville and Pomerance [1] show that there are infinitely many Carmichael numbers. For the numbers N produced by their argument we have $\log \lambda(N)$ of the order of a power of $\log \log N$, so that the index $i(N)$ is greater than $N^{1-\epsilon}$.

There is a similar heuristic argument suggesting that there should be infinitely many Carmichael numbers N with $i(N) > N^A$ for an absolute constant A . It would be interesting to prove such a result.

i	N	factors
5	6601	7 · 23 · 41
7	561	3 · 11 · 17
18	4201833841	11 · 47 · 1049 · 77477
18	55462177	17 · 23 · 83 · 1709
18	8885251441	11 · 47 · 1109 · 15497
21	10585	5 · 29 · 73
22	2465	5 · 17 · 29
23	1105	5 · 13 · 17
25	11921001	3 · 29 · 263 · 521
31	62745	3 · 5 · 47 · 89
37	11972017	43 · 433 · 643
37	67902031	43 · 271 · 5827
39	334153	19 · 43 · 409
43	52633	7 · 73 · 103
44	15841	7 · 31 · 73
45	8911	7 · 19 · 67
47	2821	7 · 13 · 31
48	1729	7 · 13 · 19
49	1208361237478669	53 · 653 · 26479 · 1318579
50	4199932801	29 · 499 · 503 · 577
52	206955841	17 · 71 · 277 · 619
53	1271325841	17 · 31 · 179 · 13477
54	4169867689	13 · 29 · 383 · 28879
55	271794601	13 · 19 · 743 · 1481
60	6840001	7 · 17 · 229 · 251
61	1962804565	5 · 103 · 149 · 25579
65	1745094470986967126132341	109 · 173 · 2063 · 179687 · 249649359173
65	365376903642671522645639268043801	67 · 3677 · 5147 · 220523477 · 1306663196317481
65	84415412895383375776750022681	73 · 599 · 24989 · 546558263 · 1413470422229
67	11985924995083901	29 · 101 · 1427 · 16349 · 175403
67	410041	41 · 73 · 137
70	162401	17 · 41 · 233
76	4752717761	11 · 17 · 107 · 173 · 1373
81	35575075809505	5 · 197 · 223 · 353 · 458807
81	299195475860503405763765113861	83 · 4919 · 10243 · 4694111 · 15241214653541
82	1496405933740345	5 · 47 · 317 · 40253 · 499027
83	142159958924185	5 · 37 · 107 · 58379 · 123017
83	158664761899885	5 · 37 · 107 · 53987 · 148469
83	204370370140285	5 · 37 · 107 · 48677 · 212099
83	24831908105124205	5 · 29 · 719 · 3023 · 78790717
83	5969360321185871106354001	31 · 251 · 31583 · 2146673 · 11315483219
85	17118935538562901	23 · 71 · 983 · 1031 · 103437589
90	3778118040573702001	11 · 47 · 1051 · 67967 · 102302009
92	520178982961	11 · 29 · 131 · 607 · 20507
94	12782849065	5 · 7 · 269 · 317 · 4283
95	8956911601	11 · 17 · 127 · 131 · 2879
97	5472940991761	199 · 241 · 863 · 132233
97	721574219707441	167 · 241 · 5039 · 3557977
97	9729822470631481	127 · 409 · 110681 · 1692407
97	83565865434172201	103 · 1993 · 9551 · 42622169
99	438253965870337	43 · 139 · 49409 · 1484009

Carmichael numbers with small index

References

- [1] W.R. Alford, Andrew Granville, and Carl Pomerance, *There are infinitely many Carmichael numbers*, Annals of Maths **139** (1994), no. 3, 703–722.
- [2] Jean-Marie De Koninck and Claude Levesque (eds.), *Number theory: proceedings of the international number theory conference, Université de Laval, 1987*, Berlin, Walter de Gruyter, 1989.
- [3] Richard G.E. Pinch, *The Carmichael numbers up to 10^{15}* , Math. Comp. **61** (1993), 381–391, Lehmer memorial issue.
- [4] Lawrence Somer, *On Fermat d -pseudoprimes*, in De Koninck and Levesque [2], pp. 841–860.